

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

W.P(S) No. 3217 of 2022

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DR. S.N. PATHAK

For the Petitioners	Mr. Atanu Bannerjee, Advocate
For the University	Mr. Mithilesh Singh, Advocate
For the State	Mr. Arpan Mishra, AC to SC

02/24.08.2022 Mr. Atanu Bannerjee, learned counsel argues that petitioners are entitled for same and similar benefits which have been granted to the similarly situated persons who have been re-designated as 'Demonstrator' in other Colleges of the University. Learned counsel submits that in view of Notification at Annexures-3 and 4, petitioners ought to have been retired at the age of 62 years. However, petitioners have been erroneously made to retire at the age of 60 years only.

Issue notice to respondent nos. 3 to 5 for which requisites etc. under registered cover with A/D as also under ordinary process must be filed by the petitioners within two weeks.

Respondents – University as well as State are directed to file counter affidavit.

As prayed, put up this case on 30.11.2022.

(Dr. S.N. Pathak, J.)