

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

Cr. Appeal (SJ) No.592 of 2022

Saddam Hussain

Versus

The State of Jharkhand

... ..

Appellant

... ..

Respondent

---

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR

---

For the Appellant

: Mr. P.K. Deomani, Adv.

For the State

: Mr. Someshwar Roy, APP

---

I.A. No.9357 of 2022

03/10.11.2022:

Heard learned counsel for the appellant and learned counsel for the State on the interlocutory application filed by the appellant, for suspension of sentence and release on bail during the pendency of this appeal.

The appellant has been convicted for the offence under Section 307 of the Indian Penal Code and has been sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for 7 years with fine.

It has been submitted that the parties are in litigating terms and accidental injury has been used for implication. By referring to the deposition of Doctor, it has been submitted that the injury cannot be caused as suggested by the prosecution. It has been submitted that the appellant has remained in custody for about five months. On the above basis, prayer for suspension of sentence has been made.

Learned A.P.P. has opposed the prayer for bail of the appellant.

Considering the materials available on the record, I am inclined to suspend the sentence and to release the appellant on bail. Accordingly, the appellant, above named, is directed to be released on bail, during the pendency of the appeal, on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each, to the satisfaction of learned Sessions Judge, Hazaribagh in S.T. No.217 of 2018.

This interlocutory application is accordingly, allowed and disposed of.

(Rajesh Kumar, J.)