



2023:JHHC:6908

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Cr. Appeal (DB) No. 1126 of 2022

Sasti Pada Mandal **Appellant**
Versus
The State of Jharkhand **Respondent**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY
: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMBUJ NATH

For the Appellant : Mr. S. P. Roy, Advocate
For the Respondent : Ms. Nehala Sharmin, Spl.P.P.

03/28.11.2022 Mr. S. P. Roy, learned counsel for the appellant submits that due to inadvertence he could not bring to the notice of the learned Single Judge that one of the offences alleged against the appellant was under the Explosive Act and not under the Explosive Substances Act.

It appears that Stamp Reporter had also inadvertently pointed out that the offence is under Section 9(B) of the Explosive Substance Act and accordingly the matter was placed before us since Explosive Substance Act comes within the schedule of the NIA Act.

In view of the fact that Explosive Substance Act is not involved in the offence alleged against the appellant and only Explosive Act apart from the other penal provisions are involved, let this matter go out of our list to be placed before the learned Single Judge.

Mr. Roy, learned counsel for the appellant, in the meantime, is directed to reconvert this appeal into the original application which was filed by him.

(Rongon Mukhopadhyay, J.)

(Ambuj Nath, J.)