

DEBTS RECOVERY TRIBUNAL-2, CHANDIGARH

OA/343/2019 STATE BANK OF INDIA Vs MUKESH KUMAR

ITEM No.32

Dated:07.12.2022

**Present: Mr. Alankrit Bhardwaj, proxy for Mr. Siddharth Bhardwaj,
counsel for the applicant bank**

Mr. Jagan Nath Bhandari, counsel for defendant No.1

None for the defendant No.2

The service report filed by the applicant bank vide diary No.18959, dated 07.12.2022 is on record along with postal receipts and track reports which shows that defendant No.2 have been duly served but defendant No.1 could not be served.

In response to notice, Mr. Jagan Nath Bhandari, advocate appeared for defendant No.1 by filing Vakalatnama vide Diary No.18957, dated 07.12.2022. In view of appearance of defendant No.1 through counsel, the defendants No.1 is directed to serve complete set of paper book to the defendant No.1 counsel within 15 days, thereafter defendant No.1 counsel will file their WS within 30 days, from the date of receipt of paper book, with advance copy to the applicant bank.

Despite sufficient notices, none has appeared on behalf of the defendant No.2. Today also, despite sufficient calls, none has appeared for the defendant No.2. Therefore, the defendant No.2 is proceeded against ex parte.

Let the case be listed before **Registrar** on **08.05.2023** for further proceedings.

**RO-2/Registrar I/c
DRT-2, Chandigarh**