

I.A. No. 59/2022, I.A. No. 54/2022 & I.A. No. 183/2021 In
Appeal Dy. No. 51/2021

Date: 26.04.2022

Master Anurag Kumar and Ors. Vs. Canara Bank & Ors.

Present: Shri Shresth Pandey, Advocate holding brief of Shri
Ashok Pandey, counsel for the applicants,
Shri S. K. Srivastava, counsel for the respondent-Bank,
None for the respondent no. 3,
Shri M. K. Srivastava, counsel for the respondent no. 4-Auction
Purchaser,

Heard the learned counsels for the parties on the correction
application.

It is contended that due to inadvertent mistake, the name of the
second auction purchaser "Sunena Devi" could not be transcribed
along with Shri Sharma Bhagat in the order dated 20.04.2022
passed by this Tribunal. Therefore, the name of Sunena Devi be
added after the word of Shri Sharma Bhagat and after word
respondent No. 5, respondent no. 6.

In view of the above, the name of "Sunena Devi" be read along
with the name of "Shri Sharma Bhagat" and also be arrayed as
"respondent no. 6" in the present case.

This order shall be read conjointly with the order dated
20.04.2022 passed by this Tribunal.

Accordingly, the I.A. No. 59/2022 stands disposed off.

List this case on the date already fixed i.e. 27.07.2022.

Justice R. D. Khare
Chairperson

VN Giri