



\$~2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 3098/2023 & CM APPL. 12073/2023, CM APPL. 12074/2023**

SULAKSHANA GOEL Petitioner
Through: Mr. Rajbir Singh, Adv.
(through VC).

versus

UNION BANK OF INDIA Respondent
Through: Mr. Samarendra Kumar,
Adv. (through VC).

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

ORDER
13.12.2023

%

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition, *inter alia*, impugning an order dated 19.12.2022 passed by the learned Debts Recovery Tribunal – I, Delhi (hereafter ‘**DRT**’) as well as the order dated 18.01.2023 passed by the learned Debts Recovery Appellate Tribunal, Delhi (hereafter ‘**DRAT**’).
2. In terms of the order dated 19.12.2022 passed by the learned DRT in Securitisation Application No. 564/2022 captioned *Mrs Sulakshna Goel v. Union Bank of India*, the learned DRT had declined the petitioner’s prayer for interim relief, restraining the respondent from taking physical possession of the property described as property bearing No. B-6/101, Sector–11, Rohini, Delhi-110085.
3. In terms of the order dated 18.01.2023, the learned DRAT had declined to entertain the appeal without the mandatory pre-deposit.
4. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner seeks to



withdraw the present petition in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of *Kotak Mahindra Bank (P) Ltd. v. Ambuj A. Kasliwal : (2021) 3 SCC 549*. He, however, prays that the learned DRT may be directed to dispose of the petitioner's Securitisation Application in a time-bound manner.

5. The petition is dismissed as withdrawn.
6. The learned DRT is requested to consider the petitioner's Securitisation Application as expeditiously as possible.

VIBHU BAKHRU, J

AMIT MAHAJAN, J

DECEMBER 13, 2023

'KDK'