



\$~25

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(C) 2043/2023, CM APPL. 7736/2023 & CM APPL. 7746/2023**
CHARANJIT KAURPetitioner

Through: Mr.Anurag Lakhotia, Advocate

versus

D.A.V. COLLEGE MANAGING COMMITTEE & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr.Mohnish Sehrawat, proxy counsel
for Mrs.Avnish Ahlawat, SC for R-1
Ms.Sumitra Choudhary, Advocate for
R-2 & 3
Ms.Archana Surve, Advocate for R-4

CORAM: SH. SANJAY KUMAR SHARMA, REGISTRAR

ORDER

21.11.2023

%

Today's Court proceedings are conducted through physical/hybrid mode.

Counsel for respondent no.4 has entered appearance and states that she has filed her vakalatnama on behalf of respondent no.4. But the same is not on record. Let counsel check with the Registry and get the same placed on record within a week.

Counsel for respondent nos. 2 & 3 states that counter affidavit filed by respondent no.2 in W.P. (C) No.3610/2023 will be adopted by respondent nos.2 & 3 in this case also. Let a copy of the same be placed on record with a copy to counsel for the petitioner within a week, who may file rejoinder thereto, if any, within four weeks thereafter.

At request, four weeks time is granted to respondent nos. 1 & 4 to file the counter affidavits with advance copy to counsel for the petitioner, who



may file rejoinders thereto, if any, within four weeks thereafter.

It is noticed that in the index filed with the petition, the case title is mentioned as “Charanjit Kaur vs. DAV College Managing Committee & Ors.”. Whereas in the memo of parties, the case title has been mentioned as “Charanjit Kaur vs. Director of Education & Ors.”. Since the first respondent as per memo of parties is Director of Education, therefore, counsel for the petitioner is directed to get the same corrected.

List on 13.03.2024.

NOVEMBER 21, 2023/sk

REGISTRAR