



\$~39, 40, 188 and 232

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 10674/2023

UMA DEVI MEMORIAL PVT ITI & ANR. Petitioners

Through: Mr. Amitesh Kumar, Ms. Priti
Kumari and Mr. Mrinal Kishor,
Advocates.

versus

DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF TRAINING & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Ms. Beenashaw Soni and Mr. Mansi
Jain, Advocates for UOI.
Mr. Abhinav Mukerji, Mr. Bihu
Sharma and Mr. Mohit Prasad,
Advocates for R-2.

+ W.P.(C) 10677/2023

VISHESHWAR PVT ITI & ANR. Petitioners

Through: Mr. Amitesh Kumar, Ms. Priti
Kumari and Mr. Mrinal Kishor,
Advocates.

versus

DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF TRAINING & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Tanveer Ahmed Ansari, Sr.
P.C for R-1.
Mr. Abhinav Mukerji, Mr. Bihu
Sharma and Mr. Mohit Prasad,
Advocates for R-2.
Mr. Hilal Haider, G.P. with Mr. Butul
Khan, Advocate.

+ W.P.(C) 10076/2023

SAVITRI PVT ITI & ANR. Petitioners



-2-

Through: Mr. Amitesh Kumar, Ms. Priti Kumari and Mr. Mrinal Kishor, Advocates.

versus

DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF TRAINING & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Ms. Ritu Reniwal, SPC for R-1 with Ms. Gitanjali Tyagi, G.P. and Ms. Kristen Sleeth, Advocate.
Mr. Abhinav Mukerji, Mr. Mohit Prasad and Ms. Pratishtha Vij, Advocates.

+ W.P.(C) 10799/2023

MOUTIUR RAHMAN PVT ITI & ANR.

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr. Amitesh Kumar, Ms. Priti Kumari and Mr. Mrinal Kishor, Advocates.

versus

DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF TRAINING & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Abhinav Mukerji, Mr. Mohit Prasad and Ms. Pratishtha Vij, Advocates for R-2.
Mr. Nidhi Raman, CGSC with Mr. Akash Mishra and Mr. Mayank Sansanwal, Advocates for R-1.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV



-3-

ORDER
18.08.2023

%

1. These matters were earlier taken up for hearing. While recording the submissions of learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners, the learned counsel for respondents were directed to seek instructions as to whether the controversy involved in these cases is covered by the decision passed by this court on 25.05.2023.
2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents in W.P.(C) 10799/2023 and W.P.(C) 10677/2023 submits that as per his instructions, the controversy stands covered by the said decision.
3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State in W.P.(C) 10674/2023 and W.P.(C) 10076/2023 submits that the instructions are still awaited.
4. I have considered the submissions made by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties and in view of the perusal of the facts and circumstances in the instant cases, this court finds that the controversy involved in the instant writ petitions is covered by the decision passed by this court in W.P.(C) 3187/2023 *vide* order dated 25.05.2023.
5. Therefore, the instant petitions are disposed of with similar directions.
6. Let the directions passed in the case being W.P.(C) 3187/2023 be made applicable *mutatis mutandis* in the instant cases also.

AUGUST 18, 2023/p

PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV, J

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)