



\$~44 & 45

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ RC.REV. 558/2016 & CM APPL. 29188/2021, CM APPL.
29189/2021, CM APPL. 6666/2023

AMIT JINDAL Petitioner
Through: Mr. Ved Prakash Sharma, Ms.
Amrit Kaur Oberoi, Mr Aditya
Kumar Hire and Ms. Pallavi
Maurya, Advs.

versus

LAKHI RAM Respondent
Through: Ms. Shalini Kapoor, Ms
Divyanshi Saxena, Ms. Celina
and Mr. Udit Bhatiani, Advs.

45

+ RC.REV. 48/2021 & CM APPL. 7342/2021, CM APPL.
27741/2021

JITENDRA JINDAL Petitioner
Through: Mr. Ved Prakash Sharma, Ms.
Amrit Kaur Oberoi, Mr Aditya
Kumar Hire and Ms. Pallavi
Maurya, Advs.

versus

OM PRAKASH KASHYAP Respondent
Through: Ms. Shalini Kapoor, Ms
Divyanshi Saxena, Ms. Celina
and Mr. Udit Bhatiani, Advs.



**CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DHARMESH SHARMA**

ORDER
% **13.12.2023**

1. Digitized Lower Court Record [“LCR”] has not been received. However, it is stated that all the relevant documents are available on the record of this Court in the digitized form.
2. After short arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner makes an offer to the respondent to shift his business to the first floor of the premises. It is submitted that learned Addl. Rent Controller has *inter alia* granted leave to defend to the respondent/tenant primarily on the ground that business could also be carried out from the first floor.
3. Learned counsel for the respondent/tenant requests time to take instructions from her client.
4. Re-notify the matter on 23.02.2024 at 03.15 p.m.

DHARMESH SHARMA, J.

DECEMBER 13, 2023/sm