



\$~10 & 11

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ O.M.P. (COMM) 117/2016

M/S BALMER LAWRIE & CO LTD

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Dattatray Vyas and Mr. Shaurya Nirwal, Advocates.

versus

SHALINI BAWA

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Mr. Hunny Veer Singh, Mr. Amit Choudhary and Mr. Ankit Parindiyal, Advocates.

11

+ O.M.P. (COMM) 123/2016, I.A. 1677/2011

SHALINI BAWA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Mr. Hunny Veer Singh, Mr. Amit Choudhary and Mr. Ankit Parindiyal, Advocates.

versus

M/S BALMER LAWRIE & CO. LTD

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Dattatray Vyas and Mr. Shaurya Nirwal, Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SACHIN DATTA

ORDER

%

15.10.2024

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner has concluded his arguments.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has confined his challenge to the impugned award on three counts. First, it is submitted that the findings rendered in the impugned award with regard to the amount to be adjusted in lieu of non-submission of Form-VI is erroneous and suffers from non-application of mind. Second, it is submitted that the learned Arbitrator grossly erred in not considering the petitioner's application under Section 33



of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 for rectification of computational errors. Third, it is submitted that the arbitrator erred in not considering the counter-claims of the petitioner.

3. List for arguments on behalf of the respondent on 23.10.2024.

SACHIN DATTA, J

OCTOBER 15, 2024/at