



\$~11

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CS(COMM) 152/2023**
TATA SONS PRIVATE LIMITED & ANR. Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Achuthan Sreekumar,
Mr. Rohil Bansal,
Ms. Apoorva Prasad R,
Advocates

versus

VISHAL DADHICH & ORS. Defendant

Through: Mr. Arnav Goyal (VC),
Advocate for D-1, 2 & 3

CORAM:
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) Dr. AJAY
GULATI (DHJS)

ORDER
20.12.2023

%

Ld. Counsel for the defendants submit that cost could not be pad for the reason that there was some discrepancy with the account details provided to the defendants. However, ld. counsel is ready to pay the cost by an alternative mode. Let the cost be paid in cash on the next date of hearing which is before the Hon'ble Court.

It needs a highlight that cost was imposed after an application was moved on behalf of def no. 1 & 2 seeking condonation of delay of 74 days in filing written statement. The written statement however, was filed jointly on behalf of all the three defendants. Since the application for condonation of delay was moved and allowed only in respect of defendant nos. 1 & 2, and no application has been moved till date for seeking condonation of delay on behalf of def no. 3, written statement which has been permitted to be taken on record shall be in



respect of defendant nos. 1 & 2 only. Right of defendant no. 3 to bring on record written statement on his behalf stands closed.

Matter is already listed before the Hon'ble Court for 19.01.2024.

Put up before the Hon'ble Court on the date already fixed i.e. 19.01.2024, for further directions.

**AJAY GULATI - I (DHJS),
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**
DECEMBER 20, 2023/sk
[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)