



\$~26

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(COMM) 152/2023**

TATA SONS PRIVATE LIMITED & ANR. Plaintiff

Through: **Mr. Rohil Bansal, Advocate**

versus

VISHAL DADHICH & ORS. Defendant

Through: **Mr. Arnav Goyal (VC),
Advocate for all the defendants**

**CORAM:
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) Dr. AJAY
GULATI (DHJS)**

ORDER

%

13.10.2023

I.A. No. 20270/2023 under section 5 of the Limitation Act, 1963 for condonation of delay of 74 days on behalf of the defendant no. 1 & 2.

Issue notice.

Notice accepted by ld. Counsel for the plaintiff.

Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff submits that he has no objection if the application is allowed subject to reasonable cost.

For the reasons stated in the application and in view of the 'no objection' of ld. Counsel for the plaintiff, application is allowed subject to cost of Rs.5000/- to be paid to the counsel for the plaintiff. Delay stands condoned. Written statement on behalf of defendant no. 1 & 2 is taken on record. Replication has already been filed on advance receipt of written statement. Replication is also taken on record.

IA stands disposed off.

It needs a brief highlight that written statement has been



filed on behalf of all the three defendants. However, the IA no. 20270/2023 has been filed qua defendant no. 1 & 2. Consequently, today written statement is taken on record only on behalf of defendant no. 1 & 2.

**AJAY GULATI - I (DHJS),
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

OCTOBER 13, 2023/sk

Click here to check corrigendum, if any