



\$~15, 16 and 17

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 2531/2023 & CRL.M.A. 9613/2023

RAJESH GOYAL

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Anurag Ahluwalia, Mr. Satish Verma, Mr. Sandeep Dhanuka, Mr. C.M. Grover & Ms. Payal Budhiraja, Advocates.

versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Manoj Pant, APP for the State. Inspector Naveen Dahiya. Mr. Pragesh Mishra & Ms. Kranti Pratap Singh, Advocates for Axis Bank.

16

+ CRL.M.C. 2536/2023 & CRL.M.A. 9623/2023

HARISH AGGARWAL

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Anurag Ahluwalia, Mr. Satish Verma, Mr. Sandeep Dhanuka, Mr. C.M. Grover & Ms. Payal Budhiraja, Advocates.

versus

THE STATE (GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI) & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Manoj Pant, APP for the State. Inspector Naveen Dahiya. Mr. Pragesh Mishra & Ms. Kranti Pratap Singh, Advocates for Axis Bank.

17

+ CRL.M.C. 2554/2023 & CRL.M.A. 9698/2023

LEENA AGGARWAL

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Anurag Ahluwalia, Mr. Satish Verma, Mr. Sandeep Dhanuka, Mr. C.M. Grover & Ms. Payal Budhiraja,



Advocates.

versus

THE STATE (GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI) & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Manoj Pant, APP for the State.
Inspector Naveen Dahiya.
Mr. Pragesh Mishra & Ms. Kranti
Pratap Singh, Advocates for Axis
Bank.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

01.12.2023

%

1. *Vide* order dated 13.04.2023, this Court had directed the petitioners to make payment of 30 % of the remaining amount. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that that he has deposited the said amount, and has produced before this Court, an order dated 24.07.2023, passed by the learned Trial Court, wherein it is mentioned that the petitioner has deposited a sum of Rs. 21,00,000/- (Rupees Twenty One Lakhs Only), which he states is 30 % of the remaining amount.
2. Learned counsel for the respondent, however submits that the account statement of the petitioners, and the amount due towards them, show that 30 % amount equals approximately Rs 3,00,44,500/-(Rupees Three Crores Forty Four Thousand and Five Hundred Only).
3. Clearly, there is a discrepancy between the statement of accounts, as stated by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties; however, at this stage, this Court deems it appropriate to proceed further with the case, after the said amount has been deposited by learned counsel for the petitioner.
4. List for final disposal on 02.02.2024. It is pertinent to note that no



further adjournment will be granted in the present connected matters.

5. In the meantime, the operation of the impugned order dated 28.03.2023, shall remain stayed.

6. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

DECEMBER 1, 2023/at

Click here to check corrigendum, if any