

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****Writ Petition (S) No. 37 of 2022**

Ku. Aruna Sahu D/o Bishambhar Ram Sahu, Aged About
33 Years Occupation Deputy Ranger Ravan Range,
Raitum Circle Barnawapara Project Raipur
District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

---Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary
Chhattisgarh State Forest Development Corporation
Ltd Head Office, Office Campus Block A Sector 24
Naya Raipur, Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. Managing Director Chhattisgarh Rajya Van Vikas
Corporation Ltd Naya Raipur (Chhattisgarh).
3. Ashok Kumar Netam, Ravan Area, Gajradih Circle
Barnawapara Project Raipur Chhattisgarh.

---Respondents

For Petitioner	:-	Mr. Awadh Tripathi, Advocate
For Respondent 1/State:-		Mr. Amit Buxy, P.L.
For Respondent No. 2	:-	Mr. Suyash Dhar, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Goutam Bhaduri

Order on Board

07/01/2022

1. Heard.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit
that the petitioner, who was working as a Ranger at



Ravan area Raitum Circle, has been transferred on 11/11/2021 (Annexure P/1) to Aarang area Lohardih Circle. He submits that petitioner's father is being treated in Raipur and request was made by the petitioner to keep her at the earlier place of posting so that she can get her father treated, but instead the petitioner has been transferred. It is further submitted that respondent No. 3 Ashok Kumar Netam has been given the additional charge of Ravan area Raitum Circle and no other person has been appointed therein, therefore, no prejudice would be caused and the transfer may be stayed.

3. Per contra, learned counsel for respondent No. 2 would submit that petitioner is working in the same place since 06/12/2012 and she has been placed at better place. Therefore, petitioner is not entitled for any relief in this writ petition.

4. Considering the facts of this case, petitioner is given the liberty to make representation to respondent No. 2 within a period of seven days which may be decided within a further period of 30 days. If such representation is made and it is not decided within the time-frame as stated above, transfer of the petitioner shall not be given effect to till the said representation is decided.



5. With the aforesaid observation/direction, this writ
petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
(Goutam Bhaduri)
Judge

Harneet

