



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

Order Sheet

WPS No. 3606 of 2021

Umesh Chandra Deshmukh **Vs.** Chhattisgarh Public Service Commission & others

WPS/3909/2022, WPS/4026/2021, WPS/4173/2021, WPS/3872/2021, WPS/4153/2021, WPS/3976/2022, WPS/3968/2021, WPS/3898/2022, WPS/4004/2021, WPS/3952/2021, WPS/3966/2021, WPS/3616/2021, WPS/3722/2021, WPS/4157/2021, WPS/3788/2021, WPS/3884/2021, WPS/3845/2021, WPS/3572/2021, WPS/3855/2021, WPS/3714/2021, WPS/5865/2022, WPS/3842/2021, WPS/3766/2021, WPS/3951/2021, WPS/3469/2021, WPS/3940/2021, WPS/3962/2021, WPS/3789/2021, WPS/3862/2021, WPS/4096/2021, WPS/3785/2021, WPS/3946/2021, WPS/3960/2021, WPS/3901/2021, WPS/3959/2021, WPS/3859/2021, WPS/3906/2022 & WPS/3433/2021

14.12.2022	Mr. Sunil Otwani, Mr. Prateek Sharma, Mr. C. Jayant K. Rao, Mr. Ghanshyam Kashyap, Mr. Atul Pandey, Mr. Pranjal Agrawal, Mr. Amit Patel, counsel for the respective petitioners. Mr. Anurag Dayal Shrivastava & Ms. Aditi Singhavi, counsel for respective intervenors. Mr. H.S. Ahluwalia, Dy. A.G. for the State. Mr. Anand Mohan Tiwari, counsel for the CGPSC. Mr. B.S. Rajput, counsel for respondent/UGC. Learned State counsel would submit that report of expert committee has been received wherein it has not been mentioned that how equivalence sought by the petitioner is not permissible. Learned State counsel is directed to file detail affidavit of responsible officer narrating how benefit of equivalence cannot be given to the petitioners. List all these cases on 24th January, 2023. Sd/- (Narendra Kumar Vyas) Judge