



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

Order Sheet

F.A. No. 88 of 2023

1. Beniram S/o Late Deviprasad Aged About 65 Years (wrongly mentioned in the cause title of the judgment) R/o Sector-4, Quarter No. 4B, Street No. 25, Bhilainagar, District- Durg, Chhattisgarh.....(Defendant No.1)
2. Dularam S/o Late Deviprasad Aged About 52 Years (wrongly mentioned in the cause title of the judgment) R/o Village And Post Taraud, Tehsil And District- Balod, Chhattisgarh.....(Defendant No.3)
3. Parasram S/o Late Deviprasad Aged About 49 Years (wrongly mentioned in the cause title of the judgment) Head Constable, R/o Police Line Bijapur, Bastar.....(Defendant No.4)

Late Sonbati W/o Late Deviprasad, (since died has not been made a party)

4. Tulsibai D/o Late Deviprasad aged about 63 years (wrongly mentioned in the cause title of the judgment) R/o Village And Post Taraud, Tehsil And District- Balod, Chhattisgarh.....(Defendant No.6)
5. Laxmibai D/o Late Deviprasad Aged About 45 Years (wrongly mentioned in the cause title of the judgment) R/o Village And Post Taraud, Tehsil And District- Balod, Chhattisgarh.....(Defendant No.7)
6. Bhushanbai D/o Late Deviprasad Aged About 54 Years (wrongly mentioned in the cause title of the judgment) R/o L.I.G. 438, Janta Market, Padmanabhpur, Durg, Tehsil And District- Durg, Chhattisgarh.....(Defendant No.8)

---- **Appellants**

Versus

1. Hansuram S/o Late Bakhariya Aged About 82 Years (wrongly mentioned in the cause title of the judgment) R/o Village And Post Taraud, Tehsil And District- Balod, Chhattisgarh.....(Plaintiff No.1)
2. Balram S/o Late Hansuram Aged About 62 Years (wrongly mentioned in the cause title of the judgment) R/o Village And Post Taraud, Tehsil And District- Balod, Chhattisgarh.....(Plaintiff No.2)
3. Girdhari @ Gumansingh S/o Late Deviprasad Aged About 59 Years (wrongly mentioned in the cause title of the judgment) R/o Village And Post Taraud, Tehsil And District- Balod, Chhattisgarh.....(Defendant No.2)
4. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Collector, Balod, District Balod, Chhattisgarh.....(Defendant No.9)

---- **Respondents**

(Cause title is taken from CIS)



20.06.2023	Shri Prasoon Agrawal, counsel for the Appellants. Shri Ravipal Maheshwari, Panel Lawyer for the State/Respondent No.4. Heard on admission. Admit. Issue notice to respondents No.1 to 3 only on payment of P.F, as per rules. Also heard on I.A. No.01/2023, an application filed under Order 41 Rule 5 read with Section 151 of Code of Civil Procedure, 1973. Issue notice on this application also to the said respondents, as above. Meanwhile, purely as an interim measure, it is directed that the partition proceedings initiated before the Tehsildar, Balod in Revenue Case No.12/A-27/2022-23 "<i>Hansuram and another vs. Beniram and others</i>" and the proceedings initiated before the Tehsildar, Dondi in Case No.A-27/2022-23 "<i>Hansuram and another vs. Beniram and others</i>" (concerned revenue authority has not given the number of this proceeding) on the strength of the impugned judgment and decree dated 08.05.2023 passed by First Additional District Judge, Balod in Civil Suit No.16-A/2016 may go on, but no final order shall be passed till the next date of hearing. Call for record of the Court below and post this mater after five weeks for consideration on I.A. No.01/2023. Sd/- (Sanjay S. Agrawal) Judge
------------	---