



Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the appellant has been wrongly convicted by the Trial Court in the judgment without there being any sufficient evidence available on record. He submits that appellant was on bail during trial, he has remained in jail for about 3 months and presently he is in jail since 06.06.2023. Hence, it is prayed that his application be allowed.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the State has opposed the bail application and submissions made in this respect.

Heard both the parties and perused the record of the Trial Court.

After perusing the impugned judgment and considering this fact that the appellant was on bail during trial and he has not misused the liberty granted to him, I am of this opinion that it will be proper to release the appellant on bail during the pendency of this appeal.

Execution of substantive jail sentences imposed upon the appellant shall remain suspended during the pendency of this appeal and he shall be released on bail on executing a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- with one solvent surety for the like sum to the satisfaction of the Trial Court for his appearance before the Registry of this Court on **24.08.2023**. He shall thereafter appear before the Trial Court on a date to be given by the Registry of this Court and shall continue to appear there on all such subsequent dates as are given to him by the said Court, till the disposal of this appeal.

List this case for final hearing in due course.

Sd/-

(Radhakishan Agrawal)
Judge

Saurabh