



NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No. 3691 of 2023

- Sarita Bada W/o Shri Rakesh Bada Aged About 34 Years R/o Gram Sons, Post Sons, Tehsil Baikunthpur, District Koriya, Chhattisgarh.

-----Petitioner

VERSUS

1. State of Chhattisgarh through The Secretary, Department of Scheduled Caste And Scheduled Tribe Development, Indravati Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Nava Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. Commissioner Department of Scheduled Caste And Scheduled Tribe Development, Indravati Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Nava Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
3. Collector (Tribal Development) Koriya, Tehsil Baikunthpur, District Koriya, Chhattisgarh.

-----Respondents

(cause title is taken from Case Information System)

For Petitioner
For State

: Mr. Nitesh Jain, Advocate
: Ms. Sunita Jain, Govt. Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge

ORDER

19/06/2023

1. Petitioner has filed this instant petition seeking following reliefs.

“10.1 That, the Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to direct the respondents to produce the entire records of the case particularly the records of the petitioner for consideration of regularization of his services, for persual of this Hon'ble Court.


10.2 That, the Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to allow the present writ petition and direct the respondent authorities to consider the petitioner's representation dated 23.8.2022, Annexure P-1 in the light of order of this Hon'ble Court in *Ramesh Kumar and others vs. State of Chhattisgarh and others* in the interest of justice.

10.3 That, this Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to allow the present writ petition and direct the respondent authorities mention the correct payscale of regular post class-IV as per setup dated 13.09.2010 in the contingency establishment in the interest of justice.

10.4 Any other relief, which this Hon'ble Court may kindly grant in light of the facts and circumstances of the case including costs.”



2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that for the grievance projected in the writ petition he has made various representations to respondent authorities but till date the same has not been decided.
3. Learned State counsel submits that the same may be decided in accordance with law.
4. Be that as it may, the instant petition is disposed of and liberty is reserved to the petitioner to make a fresh representation ventilating his grievances to concerned authorities/respondents No.3 within a period of 4 weeks.
5. On such representation having being made, the respondents No.3 shall decide the same within further period of 12 weeks from the date of receipt of representation.
6. With above observation, the writ petition is finally disposed of.
7. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on merits of the case.



/pāwān

Sd/-
(Parth Prateem Sahu)
Judge