



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR
WPS No. 3101 of 2023

- Lalita Paikra D/o Lodha Ram Paikra Aged About 27 Years R/o Akhala Para, Shankargarh, Ward No. 3, Police Station- Shankargarh Ramanujganj, District : Balrampur, Chhattisgarh

---- **Petitioner**

Versus

- 1) State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Agriculture Department Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar Nawa Raipur, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
- 2) The Director Directorate Of Agriculture, Indravati Bhawan, Atal Nagar Nawa Raipur, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
- 3) The Collector District- Balrampur- Ramanujganj, District : Balrampur, Chhattisgarh
- 4) The Deputy Director Agriculture District- Balrampur- Ramanujganj, Chhattisgarh
- 5) The Sub- Divisional Officer Agriculture Ramanujganj District- Balrampur-Ramanujganj, Chhattisgarh
- 6) The Senior Agriculture Development Officer Agriculture And Kissan Welfare And Bio Technology Department, Block- Shankargarh Ramanujganj, District : Balrampur, Chhattisgarh

--- **Respondents**

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Amit Kumar Sharma, Adv.
For the State	:	Mr. Sandeep Dubey, Dy. A. G.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sachin Singh Rajput
Order on Board

10.05.2023

1. The petitioner has filed the instant petition seeking following relief(s):-
 - (i) That, this Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to issue an appropriate writ/order, thereby directing the respondent authorities to take decision on the pending representation of petitioner for payment of unpaid salary from the month of February, 2021 to July, 2022 and for reappointment on the post of Computer Operator under the respondent No.1 Department, forthwith, considering the pathetic condition of the petitioner.
 - (ii) That, any other relief/order which may deem fit and just in the facts and circumstances of the case including award of the costs of the



petition may be given.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that for the grievance projected in the writ petition he has made various representations which is filed as Annexure P-3 therefore, writ petition may be disposed of directing the respondents No.4 to consider the representation submitted by him for his grievance.
3. The same is not opposed by State counsel.
4. Be that as it may, the instant petition is disposed of and liberty is reserved to the petitioner to make a detailed representation ventilating his grievances to respondent No.4 within a period of two weeks.
5. On such representation having been made, the respondent No.4 shall decide the same within further period of 3 months from the date of receipt of representation.
6. With above observation, the writ petition is finally disposed of.
7. This Court has not expressed any opinion on merits of the case.

Sd/-
(Sachin Singh Rajput)
Judge

