

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR****MCRCA No.575 of 2023**

1. Hemant Tandi S/o Shri Rajkumar Tandi Aged About 28 Years R/o Gofulla Junani, Nuapada, Police Station And Tahsil - Nuapada, District - Nuapada (Odisha)

---- Applicant

Versus

- State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Station House Officer, Police Station - Jagdalpur, District - Bastar (C.G.)

---- Non-Applicant

For Applicant
For Non-Applicant

: Shri Shivendu Pandya, Advocate.
: Shri Sushil Sahu, Panel Lawyer.

Hon'ble Shri Deepak Kumar Tiwari, J**Order On Board****11/05/2023 :**

1. The applicant has preferred this application for grant of anticipatory bail as he apprehends his arrest in connection with Crime No.30/2020 registered in Police Station Jagdalpur, District Bastar for offence under Section 420/34 of the IPC.
2. Case of the prosecution is that the present applicant and one of the co-accused namely, Balram Soni on the pretext of providing mining lease at Odisha, in a fraudulent manner, obtained money from complainant Firoz Khan to the tune of Rs.4 lakhs and from his friend namely Jagdeep Singh Thakur, Rs.4 lakhs. When they demanded their money





back, the accused persons had given 4 cheques of Allahabad Bank, Nuapada Branch (Odisha) in their names. However, when the said cheques were presented before the concerned Bank, the same were dishonoured. Therefore, they have lodged an FIR.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submit that the applicant is innocent and he has never assured for providing any mining lease and has also not obtained any money for the said purpose. He further submits that there is dispute about commission of networking scheme/business in the name of Future Maker Company and the said Company has cheated so many persons. So, a false report has been lodged by the complainant.

4. On the other hand, learned State Counsel would oppose the bail application. However, he submits that in the entire case diary, there is no such fact or connection that the incident arose out of any networking business and there is clear allegation that the present applicant had given his named cheque for returning the amount which he has taken for providing mining lease.

5. Considering the rival submissions of the parties, the nature of accusation and the allegations are serious, this Court is not inclined to release the applicant on anticipatory bail. Accordingly, the Application is rejected.

Sd/-
(Deepak Kumar Tiwari)
Judge