



NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO. 2089 OF 2023

1. Virendra Singh, S/o Prabhu Dayal Verma, aged about 60 years,
R/o CSEB Colony, Korba, Tahsil & District Korba (C.G.)

... Petitioner

versus

1. State of Chhattisgarh, through: Secretary, Department of
Revenue, Mahanadi Bhavan, Mantralaya, Atal Nagar, Nava Raipur,
District Raipur (C.G.)

2. Collector, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur (C.G.)

3. Tahsildar, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur (C.G.)

4. Revenue Inspector, Bilaspur, Tahsil Bilaspur, Dist. Bilaspur (CG)

5. Patwari, Halka No.29, Mopka, Tahsil & District Bilaspur (C.G.)

... Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Manish Upadhyay, Adv.
For Respondents	:	Mr. Aditya Bhardwaj, P.L.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

[05/05/2023]


1. Learned Counsel for Petitioner, at the outset, makes an oral
prayer for making necessary amendment so far as the serial number
of the Respondents mentioned in the cause-title is concerned.

2. The said oral prayer is not opposed by the State Counsel.

3. Accordingly, the Counsel for Petitioner is directed to carry out
the said amendment during the course of the day itself.

4. The limited grievance of the Petitioner is the inaction on the part
of the Respondents in not taking appropriate steps on the proceeding
for demarcation initiated by Respondent No.3.

5. From the pleadings, it reflects that the Respondent No.3 has
already instructed the Respondent No.4 for submitting the report.
However, till date, there has been no progress in this regard.





6. Learned State Counsel does not oppose to the limited prayer of the Petitioner. However, he submits that appropriate decision has to be taken after due verification, scrutiny and necessary enquiry done in respect of the subject land.

7. Taking into consideration the submissions made by the Counsels for parties and also considering the limited relief sought for by the Petitioner, the present Writ Petition, as of now, is disposed of directing the Respondents No.3 & 4 to ensure that the demarcation proceeding is concluded in accordance with law, after hearing all the parties related to the subject land, within an outer limit of 45 days.

8. With aforesaid direction, the Writ Petition stands disposed of.



Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
JUDGE