



NAFR

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**  
**WPS No. 2848 of 2023**

- Vinod Kumar Sahu S/o Shri Santan Sahu, Aged About 40 Years Assistant Teacher E (L.B.) At Government Primary School Aheri, Block Dhamdha,, District : Durg, Chhattisgarh ---- **Petitioner**

**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, School Education Department, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, Atal Nagar, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. The Director, Public Instruction, Directorate Indravati Bhawan, Naya Raipur Atal Nagar, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
3. The Joint Director Education Division Durg, District : Durg, Chhattisgarh
4. The District Education Officer, Durg, District Durg Chhattisgarh.

---- **Respondents**

---

Mr. A.S. Rajput, learned counsel for the Petitioner.

Ms. Ruchi Nagar, Dy. GA for the State/respondents.

---

**Hon'ble Shri Justice Sachin Singh Rajput**

**Order On Board**

**02/05/2023**

With the consent of the parties, this petition is heard finally.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that presently the petitioner is working on the post of Assistant Teacher (L.B.) at Government Primary School Aheri, Block Dhamdha, District Durg, CG. He submits that the services of the petitioner was absorbed in the School Education Department in the year 2018. He further submits that a promotion order promoting the Assistant Teacher (LB) to Teacher LB has been passed by the respondent No. 3 (Annexure P-5) on 06.04.2023 and the name of the petitioner does not find place in the promotion order whereas according to counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner is also qualified and entitled to be promoted. He further submits that the purpose of filing this petition would be served if the petitioner may be permitted to make a representation to respondent





No. 3 with regard to his grievances which may be considered by respondent No. 3 in accordance with law.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents submit that according to the Clause 3 mentioned in the promotion order only those Assistant Teachers were considered for promotion who were born till 01.06.1990 and it is not reflecting that the petitioner was born prior to 01.06.1990.

4. Be that as it may, this Court is inclined to disposed of this petition granting liberty to the petitioner to make a representation with regard to his grievances to respondent No. 3 within a period of 3 weeks and respondent No. 3 in turn shall decide the same in accordance with law within a further period of 10 weeks.

5. With the above, the writ petition is disposed of. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case.

Sd/-

**(Sachin Singh Rajput)**

JUDGE

