



NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No. 2366 of 2023

1. Smt. Madhavi Kawachi W/o. Shri Chandrashekhar Kawachi, Aged About 36 Years R/o. House No. 33d, Sai Simran City Phase 4, Mathpuraina, Raipur Distirct Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. Shri Ankit Pathak, S/o. Shri Santosh Kumar Pathak, Aged About 36 Years R/o. Shahid Bhagat Singh Chowk, Tikrapara Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh
3. Rakesh Chandravanshi, S/o. Shri B.R. Chandravanshi, Aged About 38 Years R/o. Dhan Mandi Road, Torwa Chowk, District Office, C.G. Rajya Beej Avam Krishi Vikas Nigam Limited, Bilaspur District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through It Secretary, Department Of Agriculture, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Nawa Raipur, Atal Nagar, Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. Chhattisgarh Rajaya Beej Avum Krishi Viaks Nigam Limited. Through Its Managing Director, H.Q. Beej Bhawan Ravigram, Telbandha, G.1, Road Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh
3. The Chairman, Chhattisgarh Rajya Avaum Krishi Vikas Nigam Limited. H.Q. Beej Bhawan, Ravigram, Telibandha, G.E. Road Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh
4. Shri D.K. Rajak, Deputy Manager, S/o. Late Shri Bhaiyalal Rajak, Aged About 62 Years Chhattisgarh Rajya Avaum Krishi Vikas Nigam Limited. H.Q. Beej Bhawan, Ravigram, Telibandha, G.E. Road Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh
5. Shri R.K. Shrivastava. S/o. Late Shri Ayodhyay Prasad Shrivastava. Aged About 60 Years Deputy Manager, Chhattisgarh Rajya Avaum Krishi Vikas Nigam Limited. H.Q. Beej Bhawan, Ravigram, Telibandha, G.E. Road Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh
6. Smt. Naagrpriti Dave Mehta. W/o. Shri Pratik T. Mehta. Aged About 42 Years Deputy Manager, District Officer, Chhattisgarh Rajya Beej Avum Krishi Vikas Nigam Limited. Dharampura Marg, Jora, Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
7. Shri Karunanidhi Panigrahi, S/o. Shri Abhimanyu Panigrahi, Aged About 43 Years Deputy Manager, Chhattisgarh Rajya Avaum Krishi Vikas Nigam Limited. H.Q. Beej Bhawan,





Ravigram, Telibandha, G.E. Road Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh

8. Shri Harsh Kumar, S/o. Shri K.P. Yagik, Aged About 42 Years Deputy Manager, District Office, Chhattisgarh Rajya Beej Avum Krihsi Vikas Nigam Limited. Branch Nandanmara, District Kanker Chhattisgarh
9. Shri Girjashankar Karley, S/o. Shri R.D. Karley, Aged About 44 Years Deputy Manager, District Office, District Office, Chhattisgarh Rajya Beej Avum Krishi Vikas Nigam Limited, Krishi Upaj Mandi Parisar, Chandni Chowk, Kumharpara Road, Jagdalpur, District Bastar Chhattisgarh
10. Shri Ashok Kumar Yadav, S/o. Late Shri Ram Avtar Yadav, Aged About 61 Years Sub-Deputy Manager, R/o. Oa/140, Phase-Ii, Sagar Bhawan, Mungeli Marg, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh]
11. Shri Rajesh Kuamr Jain, S/o. Balchand Jain, Aged About 61 Years Sub- Deputy Manager, R/o. Abhyanchal House, Mahamaya Chowk, Old Sarkanda, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh
12. Smt. Nivedita Das, W/o. Shri S.K. Das, Aged About 37 Years Sub-Deputy Manager, R/o. Quater No. 31a, Street 37, Sector 4, Bhilai, District Durg Chhattisgarh
13. Ms. Namrata Upadhaya, D/o. Shri J.P. Upadhaya, Aged About 35 Years Sub-Deputy Manager, District Office, Chhattisgarh Rajya Beej Avum Krishi Vikas Nigam Limited. Bilaspur Road, Gram, Dharampura, District Mungeli Chhattisgarh
14. Smt. Payal Agrawal, W/o. Pukraj Agrawal, Aged About 46 Years Sub-Deputy Manager, R/o. Maruti Kunj, Behind Vishwadeep School, Mini Theatre Road, Raipura, Tehsil And District Raipur Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioners : Shri Rajesh Kumar Kesarwani, Advocate
For Respondent 1 to 3/State : Shri Sandeep Dubey, Dy.AG
For Respondent No.4 to 14 : Shri Prakash Tiwari, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Rakesh Mohan Pandey

Order On Board

17/04/2023

The petitioners have preferred the appeal before respondents



No.2 & 3 against issuance of promotion order dated 01.03.2022 (Annexure P/1).

2. Counsel for the petitioners would submit that the appeal was preferred on 10.10.2022 but till date, same has not been considered by the respondent No.2 & 3 for reasons best known to them.

3. Counsel for respondents 2 & 3 would submit that the pending appeal of the petitioners would be considered and decided strictly in accordance with law expeditiously.

4. I have heard counsel for the parties.

5. Considering the fact that the appeal was preferred by the petitioners on 10.10.2022 and the same is pending before the respondents No. 2 & 3 it would be appropriate to issue direction to the respondent authorities to decide the pending appeal of the petitioners within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of copy of this order, strictly in accordance with law. The petitioners are also permitted to raise other grounds available to them.

Sd/-

(Rakesh Mohan Pandey)
Judge