



NAFR

**HIGH COURT of CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**

**Writ Petition (S) No. 1573 of 2023**

- Ram Rajendra Singh S/o Shri Laxminarayan Singh Aged About 61 Years Presently Working as Principal, Govt. Higher Secondary School, Devbhog Block Devbhog District - Gariyaband Chhattisgarh.

-----Petitioner

**VERSUS**

1. State of Chhattisgarh through the Secretary, Department of School Education, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. Divisional Joint Director, Education Division - Raipur, Integrated Education Premises, First Floor, Pension Bada, Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
3. Directorate of Public Instructions, Indrawati Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Nawa Raipur Chhattisgarh.
4. K. Kumar, Divisional Joint Director, Education Division Raipur, Integrated Education Premises, First Floor, Pension Bada, Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
5. Mahesh Ram Patel, presently working as Block Education Officer, Block - Mainpur District Gariyaband Chhattisgarh.

-----Respondents

(cause title is taken from Case Information System)

---

For Petitioner	: Mr. N.Naha Roy, Advocate
For Respondents-State	: Ms. Ruchi Nagar, Dy. Govt. Advocate

---

**Single Bench: Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge**

**ORDER**

**27/02/2023**

1. Grievance of petitioner in this writ petition is with regard to issuance of order dated 16.01.2023 issued by Respondent No. 2, whereby petitioner who was working as Block Education Officer has been posted in the Government Higher Secondary School, Devbhog, District Gariyaband on the post of Principal.
2. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that Respondent No. 2 recorded that some complaint are pending against petitioner and pursuant to which enquiry proceeding is also under process and attached the service of petitioner in the school which is *per se* illegal. He however submits that at this stage petitioner may be permitted to



submit a representation before the higher authorities as the petitioner has also taken a ground of *mala fide* against Respondent No. 2

3. Ms. Ruchi Nagar, learned State counsel submits that the order is passed on the letter written by petitioner. She however submits that she is having no objection if petitioner wants to submit representation before the higher authorities.
4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties.
5. Considering the submission of learned counsel for the parties, nature of grievance raised in the petition, limited prayer made by learned counsel for petitioner for submission of representation before the higher authorities, without entering into merits of the case, this petition is disposed of permitting petitioner to submit representation before Respondent No. 3 and if such representation is submitted by petitioner, Respondent No. 3 shall consider and decide the same in accordance with law, expeditiously, preferably within a period of 'eight weeks' from the date of receipt of copy of representation.
6. Accordingly, this petition is disposed of with aforesaid observations and directions.

Certified Copy as per rules.

**Sd/-**  
**(Parth Prateem Sahu)**  
Judge