



NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR
WPS No. 1445 of 2023

Ramlal Kanwar S/o Prem Singh Aged About 52 Years Working As Headmaster, Posted At Primary School Kerakachhar, Block Kartala, District : Korba, Chhattisgarh.

---- **Petitioner**

Versus

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, General Administration Department of Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya Atal Nagar Naya Raipur, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. Director Directorate Public Instructions, Naya Raipur, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
3. District Education Officer Korba, District : Korba, Chhattisgarh.

--- **Respondents**

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Awadh Tripathi, Advocate.
For Respondent-State	:	Mr. Vaibhav Singh, PL.

Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge
Order on Board

20/02/2023

1. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that petitioner is working as Assistant Teacher (L.B.) and posted at Government Primary School, Kerakachhar, Block Kartala and by promotion order dated 14.10.2022 Annexure P-1 he is promoted on the post of Headmaster and posted at Govt Primary School, Baghindand, Bock Podi-Uproda, District Korba. As per letter/circular dated 07.02.2022 Annexure P-2 issued by respondent No.2, Assistant Teachers promoted on the post of Headmaster of Primary School are to be posted as far as possible in same school, block or Cluster, if posts are vacant, petitioner after promotion is posted at another school. Petitioner submitted representation before respondent No.3/District Education Officer (DEO), but till date same has not been considered and decided, hence, direction be issued to respondent No.3 to take decision on representation at the earliest within specified time.
2. Learned State Counsel submits that for posting of promoted Headmasters, DEO has constituted five members Committee.
3. At this stage, learned counsel of petitioner submits that grievance of petitioner would be redressed if petitioner may be permitted to submit fresh representation



before the Committee constituted by DEO and in-turn Committee be directed to consider and decide the same at the earliest within specific time.

4. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
5. Considering facts of the case, grievance of petitioner, limited prayer made by counsel for petitioner and not opposed by State Counsel, this writ petition is disposed of at this stage permitting petitioner to submit fresh representation before the Committee constituted by DEO raising his grievance as raised in this writ petition. On making such representation, concerned Committee shall consider and decide the same in accordance with law expeditiously.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
(Parth Prateem Sahu)
JUDGE

