

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR****WPS No. 990 of 2023**

- Mrs. Chandrika Gawde W/o Mr. Santosh Kumar Gawde, Aged About 40 Years Post Assistant Teacher (Local Body), Posted at Govt. Primary School Barrebeda, Block Antagarh, District Uttar Bastar Kanker (CG).

**---- Petitioner****Versus**

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary Department of School Education, Mantralaya, Atal Nagar, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. Director, Public Instructions, Directorate, indravati Bahwan, Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
3. Joint Director, School Education, Education Division, Baster Jagdalpur District Bastar (CG)
4. District Education Officer, District Uttar Baster Kanker (CG)
5. Shiv Narayan Netam, Post Teacher (Local Body), Posted At Upper Primary School, Aalvad, Block Kondagaon, District Kondagaon (CG)
6. Sahnkerram Kashyap, Post Teacher (Local Body), Posted At Middle School, Jhara, Block Kondagaon, District District Kondagaon (CG)

**---- Respondents**

---

For Petitioner : Mr. Parag Kotecha, Advocate  
For Respondent-State : Ms. H. Siddiqui, Dy. Advocate General.

---


**Hon'ble Shri Justice Parth Prateem Sahu****Order On Board****02.02.2023**

1. Grievance of petitioner in this writ petition is that petitioner is a B.Sc. (Science) Graduate but in gradation list it is wrongly mentioned that she is B.A. graduate in Arts subject.
2. Learned counsel for petitioner would submit that petitioner was initially appointed as Assistant Teacher on 10.6.2010 based on her qualification of B.Sc. (Science). However, respondent No.3 while preparing gradation list of Assistant Teachers (LB), as on



1.4.2021, has wrongly mentioned educational qualification of petitioner as B.A. (Arts) graduate in place of B.Sc. (Science). Immediately after publication of gradation list, respondent No.3 also issued promotion order of Assistant Teachers in which name of petitioner has been left out. Petitioner submitted objection, as called for by respondent No.3. Petitioner also submitted representation before respondent No.3 specifically mentioning that on objection being raised by petitioner, her educational qualification in gradation list of Assistant Teachers, as on 1.4.2021, be corrected from 'BA (Arts)' to 'B.Sc. (Science)' and also to grant benefit of promotion, but till date no decision is taken on petitioner's representation and the same is pending consideration. He submits that at this stage grievance of petitioner would be redressed if a direction is issued to respondent No.3 to take decision on petitioner's representation at the earliest.

3. Learned State Counsel submits that in view of limited prayer of learned counsel for petitioner, she is not having any objection.
4. Heard learned counsel for parties.
5. Considering facts and circumstances of case; submission of learned counsel for petitioner that her educational qualification is wrongly entered in gradation list and therefore name of petitioner is not considered for promotion; representation submitted is pending consideration before respondent No.3, I find it





appropriate to dispose of this writ petition with a direction to respondent No.3 to consider and decide representation of petitioner dated 14.2.2022 expeditiously.

6. Accordingly, respondent No.3 is directed to consider and decide pending representation of petitioner dated 14.2.2022 at the earliest, preferably within a period of 90 days from the date of receipt of copy of this order. Petitioner will be at liberty to place before respondent No.3 copy of this writ petition and other relevant documents along with copy of this order.

7. Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-  
(Parth Prateem Sahu)  
Judge

