



NAFR

HIGH COURT of CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No. 711 of 2023

Chandrasheela Sawarkar W/o Manish Kumar Simankar Aged About 46 Years Caste - Mahar, Resident Of Bharkapara Rajnandgaon, District Rajnandgaon Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary, Ministry Of Revenue And Disaster Management Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Nawa Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. The Commissioner Land Records Indrawati Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Nawa Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
3. The Collector, Rajnandgaon, District - Rajnandgaon Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Love Kumar Ramteke, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. Akash Pandey, Panel Lawyer

S.B.: Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge

Order On Board

23/01/2023

Heard.

1. Grievance raised by the petitioner in this writ petition is that claim of the petitioner for her appointment on the post of Patwari has been declared to be ineligible on erroneous ground.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that petitioner participated in recruitment process of Patwari initiated pursuant to advertisement dated 15.01.2017 as Scheduled Caste category candidate. In the written examination, petitioner




became successful and thereafter he was called for verification of the documents. When petitioner appeared before respondent No.3 it was stated that PG Diploma in Computer Programing and Application (PGDCPA) obtained by the petitioner from NAC-MIT College is not from the recognized institution of the State Govt., which is erroneous. Some of the Patwaris were appointed considering the PG Diploma Certificates issued from the same Institution and, therefore, petitioner submitted representation before respondent No.3 on 06.10.2022. However, till date, representation of the petitioner is not considered and decided.

He submits that grievance of the petitioner would be redressed if a direction is issued to respondent No.3 to decide pending representation within specified time.

3. Learned counsel for the State would submit that as the petitioner is not pressing this writ petition on merits and only seeking a direction to respondent No.3 to decide pending representation which she filed on 06.10.2022, he is having no objection. If the representation is not decided till date, it will be considered and decided in accordance with law.

4. Taking into consideration the grievance raised by the petitioner and submission of learned counsel for the petitioner that a direction be issued to respondent No.3 to decide pending representation within specified time, this writ petition at this stage is disposed off directing respondent No.3 to take decision on representation submitted by the petitioner on 06.10.2022 expeditiously, preferably within a period of 3 months from the





date of receipt of copy of this order.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-

(Parth Prateem Sahu)

Judge

Praveen

