



NAFR

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR****WPS No. 811 of 2023**

Nand Kumar Kesharwani S/o Late Bisal Ram Kesharwani Aged About 53 Years Posted As Peon At Chhattisgarh Rajya Krishi Vipnan Mandi Board, Head Quarter Raipur In The Office Of Manging Director, Telibandha Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh

---- **Petitioner****Versus**

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Agriculture Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. Deputy Director Chhattisgarh, Rajya Krishi Vipnan Mandi Board, Bastar, Division Behind Sodhi Petrol Pump, Jagdalpur District Bastar Chhattisgarh.
3. Managing Director Chhattisgarh Rajya Krishi Vipnan Mandi Board, Telibandha, Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh.

--- **Respondents**

---

For Petitioner	:	Mr. BP Singh, Advocate.
For Respondent No.1	:	Mr. GI Sharan, GA.
For Respondent Nos.2 and 3	:	Mr. Rohul Ameen, Advocate of Mr. Trivikaram Nayak, Advocate.

---

**Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge****Order on Board****27/01/2023**

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner was initially engaged as 'daily wage employee', work of typing and computer was taken from him. After making several efforts, petitioner was regularized in service vide order dated 10.10.2018 in class IV post. According to petitioner, other similarly situated persons were promoted on the post of Assistant Grade -III, however, claim of petitioner was not considered though he is typing pass and computer trained. Aggrieved by action on the part of respondent Nos.3, petitioner submitted representation before him on 05.07.2021, but till date same has not been considered and decided. He contended that grievance of petitioner would be redressed if direction be issued to respondent No.3 to take decision on pending representation of petitioner within specified time.
2. Learned State Counsel submits that grievance of petitioner is against respondent Nos.2 and 3.



3. Learned counsel for respondent Nos.2 and 3 submits that in view of limited prayer made by counsel for petitioner, he is having no objection. However, he submits that if representation submitted by petitioner is yet not decided, it will be considered and decided expeditiously.
4. Heard learned counsel for the respective parties and perused document annexed alongwith writ petition.
5. Considering facts of the case, limited prayer made by counsel for the petitioner, not opposed by counsel for the respondents, documents placed on record, without entering into merit of claim, this writ petition is disposed of at this stage directing respondent No.3 to consider and decide the pending representation of petitioner dated 05.07.2021 (Annexure P-15) in accordance with law, expeditiously, preferably within an outer limit of '**six weeks**' from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

CC as per rules.



**Sd/-**  
**(Parth Prateem Sahu)**  
**JUDGE**