



NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No. 790 of 2023

- Sushant Suryavanshi S/o Dagaram Suryavanshi Aged About 21 Years R/o Village Seepat Tahsil Seepat, District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. National Thermal Power Corporation Limited Through Chairman Cum- Managing Director, Tahsil Seepat, District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh
2. Manager Human Resource Department, N T P C, Seepat Tahsil Seepat, District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner : Shri Aman Ansari, Advocate
For Respondents ; Shri BD Guru, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Parth Prateem Sahu

Order on Board

25.01.2023

1. Heard.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that land recorded in name of his father and grand father was acquired by the respondents/National Thermal Power Corporation Limited (NTPC), and according to NTPC Rehabilitation Policy of the year 2010, land oustees are entitled for job in NTPC. Petitioner being son of 'Dagaram Suryavanshi', is entitled for job. Other persons whose land was acquired in same proceeding initiated by Land Acquisition Officer, NTPC, are being provided employment, which is also evident from document Annexure P9. They were directed by NTPC to submit relevant documents of their education and other testimonials vide letter dated 13.09.2021 (Annexure P9). When no such letter was received by petitioner, he submitted representation before respondents on 09.12.2021, 19.01.2022 and 11.04.2022, which were not considered and decided till date.

3. Learned counsel for respondents submit that he may be permitted to submit reply to petition. He further submits that details which petitioner is referring appears



in name of 'Dayaram', whereas, petitioner's father is named as 'Dagaram'. He also contended that Policy of NTPC is of the year 2000 as per instructions. However, he submits that if Court directs to consider and decide representation submitted by petitioner, it will be decided in accordance with law and clause mentioned in Policy of NTPC prevailing on the date of land acquisition proceeding.

4. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

5. The grievance of petitioner is that land belonging to his family was acquired, employment as provided under the policy is not offered to him or his family member, though others are being considered for employment. Petitioner against discriminatory action of respondents submitted representation on 09.12.2021, 19.01.2022 and 11.04.2022 which according to petitioner, is not decided till date.

6. In the aforementioned facts of the case, I find it appropriate to dispose of this writ petition instead of keeping it pending seeking reply from respondents directing respondents to consider and decide pending representation of petitioner in accordance with law expeditiously, preferably within a period of **eight weeks** from the date of receipt of order passed by this Court.

7. Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
(Parth Prateem Sahu)
JUDGE

