



NAFR

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**

**WPS No. 650 of 2023**

- Bhoodhar Prasad Chandravanshi S/o Shri Punaram Chandravanshi, Aged About 39 Years Working As Teacher (L.B.) At Govt. Middle School Mudghusari Maidan, Block Bolda, District Kabirdham Chhattisgarh. **---- Petitioner**

**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Education Department, Of Panchayat And Rural Development Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar Nava Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. Commissioner-Cum-Director, Directorate Of Panchayat, Atal Nagar Nava Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
3. Director, Directorate School Education Department, Indrawati Bhawan, Nava Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat, Kabirdham, District Kabirdham Chhattisgarh.
5. District Education Officer, Kabirdham, District Kabirdham Chhattisgarh

**---- Respondents**

For Petitioner : Shri CJK Rao, Advocate  
For Respondents ; Shri Ravi Bhagat, Dy GA

**Hon'ble Shri Justice Parth Prateem Sahu**

**Order on Board**

**23.01.2023**

1. Heard.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that petitioner was not extended benefit of revised pay scale immediately after completion of 8 years of service, however benefit was extended to petitioner vide order dated 02.04.2018 pursuant to order passed in WPS-1941 of 2018. He submits that according to decision of this Court in case of **Mukesh Kumar Patel and another Versus State of Chhattisgarh and another (WPS-2530 of 2017)**, benefit of revised pay scale to Teachers working in Panchayat are to be granted from the date of completion of eight years of service and therefore, petitioner is entitled for arrears of revised pay scale from 29.09.2015 till 02.04.2018.



3. Learned counsel for the State submits that grievance of petitioner is against respondent-4, and he has not made any application for the relief as claimed in this petition.

4. At this stage, counsel for petitioner would submit that petitioner may be permitted to submit representation before respondents-3 and 4 and they be directed to decide it at the earliest, within specified time.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

6. Considering limited prayer by counsel for petitioner based on decision of **Mukesh Kumar Patel** (supra), as also decision in case of **WPS-5328 of 2021** (Avinesh Kumar Namdev and others Vs State of Chhattisgarh and others), this writ petition is disposed of at this stage permitting petitioner to submit representation before respondents-3 and 4, and if such representation is submitted, concerned respondents shall consider and decide the same expeditiously, preferably within a period of **four weeks** from the date of receipt of representation, keeping in mind the decision of this Court in cases of **WPS-2530 of 2017** (Mukesh Kumar Patel and another Vs State of Chhattisgarh and another) and **WPS-5328 of 2021** (Avinesh Kumar Namdev and others Vs State of Chhattisgarh and others).

7. Accordingly, writ petition stands disposed of.

8. Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-  
(Parth Prateem Sahu)  
JUDGE