



NAFR

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**

**MCRC No. 361 of 2023**

Piprodhan Alias Viprodhan Kujur S/o Late Sonsai Kujur Aged About 30 Years R/o Village Nilkanthpur, P.S. Kusmi, District Balrampur-Ramanujganj, Chhattisgarh.

----Applicant

**Versus**

State Of Chhattisgarh Through Police Station In Charge, P.S. Samripath (Wrongly Mentioned As Balrampur In Cause Title Of Impugned Order) District Balrampur-Ramanujganj, Chhattisgarh.

----Non-applicant

---

For Applicant	Ms. K. Radhika, Advocate appears on behalf of Shri Bhupendra Singh, Advocate.
For State	Ms. Deepti Shukla, P.L.

---

**Hon'ble Shri Justice Sachin Singh Rajput**

**Order on Board**

**08/02/2023**

1. This is first bail application under Section 439 of CrPC. The applicant has been arrested in connection with Crime No.28/2022 registered at Police Station Samripath, District Balrampur (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Section 306 of Indian Penal Code.
2. The case of the prosecution is that on 14/02/2021 the deceased committed suicide at her parental house by some poisonous substance.
3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he has falsely implicated in the present case, incident took place on 14/02/2021 when the deceased committed suicide by consuming poisonous substance, however, delay in lodging FIR on 19/07/2022 i.e. delay of about 1½ years, the marriage took place in the year 2015 and they are living together with happily, no such evidence collected by the prosecution for involvement of the present applicant, investigation is complete, applicant is in jail since 21/07/2022, trial is likely to take some time and he is ready and willing to abide the condition which may imposed by the Court, hence he may be enlarged on regular bail.
4. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondent/State opposes the bail application. He further submits that there is allegations of torture and harassment against the present applicant and looking to the seriousness offence, hence he may not be granted bail.



5. Heard learned counsel for the parties, considered their rival submissions, looking to the nature of allegations, evidence collected so far, detention period and trial is likely to take time, without commenting anything on merits, I am inclined to enlarge the applicant on bail. Accordingly, the bail application is allowed.
6. It is directed that in the event of applicant executing a personal bond for a sum of **Rs. 25,000/-** with one surety to the satisfaction of the concerned Trial Court, he shall be released on bail.
7. The applicant shall not influence any of the witnesses acquainted with the facts of the case in any manner whatsoever, and that if anything like this comes to the notice of the Court below, it may cancel the bail granted to him.

Sd/-

Sachin Singh Rajput  
Judge

