



NAFR

HIGH COURT of CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No. 227 of 2023

Smt. Pancho Bai Vinayak Wd/o Late Shri Patiram Vinayak Aged About 71 Years R/o C/o Rajendra Prakar, Shivpuri, Jamul, Ward-18, Near Ips School Bhilai, District : Durg, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Veterinary Services, Mahanadi Bhawan, Post Office Mantralaya, P. S. Rakhi, Atal Nagar Nawa-Raipur, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. The Director Directorate Of Veterinary Services, Indrawati Bhawan, Atal Nagar Nawa-Raipur, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. The Joint Director Directorate Of Veterinary Services, Pandri Road, Ashok Vihar Colony, Pandri Raipur, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioners	:	Mr. Vipin Tiwari, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. Gurudev I Sharan, Govt. Advocate

S.B.: Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge

Order On Board

18/01/2023

Heard.

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that husband of the petitioner was a Govt. servant and stood retired after attaining the age of superannuation on 30.11.2002. Husband of the petitioner died on 09.04.2012. Retiral benefits for which husband of the petitioner was entitled was being paid to him and the pension was also being paid to him till his death. However, after death of husband of the petitioner, petitioner is not being paid family pension. Learned counsel for the petitioner also submitted representation before respondent authority on



07.09.2022 but till date even the representation submitted by the petitioner is not considered and decided. He submits that at this stage, grievance of the petitioner would be redressed if a direction is issued to respondent authority to decide pending representation within specified time.

2. Learned counsel for the State submits that he is having no objection to the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

3. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the documents annexed along with writ petition.

4. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, particularly that the petitioner, who is stated to be widow of deceased employee Late Shri Patiram Vinayak (who retired from the post of Assistant Veterinary Area Officer) is not being paid family pension after death of her husband since 2012, this writ petition at this stage is disposed of directing respondents to consider and decide her representation dated 07.09.2022 (Annexure P-7), expeditiously, preferably within a period of 4 months from the date of receipt of copy of order passed by this Court.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-

(Parth Prateem Sahu)

Judge