

**CUSTOMS, EXCISE & SERVICE TAX APPELLATE TRIBUNAL
AHMEDABAD**

REGIONAL BENCH, COURT NO. 3

SERVICE TAX APPEAL NO. 11774 OF 2016

[Arising out of OIO-VLD-EXCUS-000-COM-0020-15-16 dated 29/01/2016 passed by
Commissioner of Central Excise, Customs and Service Tax-Valsad]

GOVINDA INDUSTRIAL SERVICES

206, 2nd Floor, Arihant Complex,
Vishal Mega Mart, GIDC,
Vapi, Gujarat

Appellant

Vs.

**COMMISSIONER OF CENTRAL EXCISE AND
SERVICE TAX-VALSAD**

Third Floor, Adarashdham Building, Vapi-Daman Road,
Vapi, Gujarat-396191

Respondent

WITH

SERVICE TAX APPEAL NO. 11775 OF 2016

[Arising out of OIO-VLD-EXCUS-000-COM-0020-15-16 dated 29/01/2016 passed by
Commissioner of Central Excise, Customs and Service Tax-Valsad]

ASHISH KAILASHNATH SHUKLA

206, 2nd Floor, Arihant Complex,
Nr. Vishal Mega Mart, GIDC,
Vapi, Gujarat

Appellant

Vs.

**COMMISSIONER OF CENTRAL EXCISE AND
SERVICE TAX-VALSAD**

Third Floor, Adarashdham Building, Vapi-Daman Road,
Vapi, Gujarat-396191

Respondent

AND

SERVICE TAX APPEAL NO. 11776 OF 2016

[Arising out of OIO-VLD-EXCUS-000-COM-0020-15-16 dated 29/01/2016 passed by
Commissioner of Central Excise, Customs and Service Tax-Valsad]

N S S Industrial Services

206, 2nd Floor, Arihant Complex,
Vishal Mega Mart, GIDC,
Vapi, Gujarat

Appellant

Vs.

**COMMISSIONER OF CENTRAL EXCISE AND
SERVICE TAX-VALSAD**

Third Floor, Adarashdham Building, Vapi-Daman Road,
Vapi, Gujarat-396191

Respondent

Appearance:

None appeared for the Appellant

Shri Rajesh R Kurup, Superintendent (AR) for the Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. RAMESH NAIR, MEMBER (JUDICIAL)
HON'BLE MR. C. L. MAHAR, MEMBER (TECHNICAL)**

FINAL ORDER NO. 12305-12307/2024

Date of Hearing : 11.09.2024
Date of Decision : 11.09.2024

RAMESH NAIR

When the matter was called out, no one appeared on behalf of the appellant. From the record, it is observed that this appeal has come up for hearing on 08.09.2023, 27.10.2023, 04.12.2023, 18.01.2024, 27.02.2024, 03.04.2024, 11.06.2024, 15.07.2024, 11.09.2024. However, no one appeared on any of the said dates, which shows that the appellant is not serious to pursue their appeals. Accordingly, the appeals are dismissed for non-prosecution in terms of Rule 20 of CESTAT procedure Rules, 1982 read with Section 35C (1A) proviso of Central Excise Act, 1944.

2. Both the sides are at liberty to approach this Tribunal if they wish to pursue the appeal.

(Dictated and pronounced in the open Court)

**(RAMESH NAIR)
MEMBER (JUDICIAL)**

**(C. L. MAHAR)
MEMBER (TECHNICAL)**