

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL HYDERABAD (TELANGANA)
NO. 5-10-193, 1ST FLOOR, HACA BHAVAN, HYDERABAD - 500004

Order Sheet

Item no.: 5
O.A./127/2022 (ANDHRA PRADESH)
[SELECTION]
Court No.: 1

No of Adjournment: 13

Order Dated: 24/01/2024

MANNEM TULASIRAM
Vs
SOUTH CENTRAL RAILWAY

For Applicant(s) Advocate : K SIVA REDDY

For Respondent(s) Advocate : T HANUMANTHA REDDY, Sr. PC for CG

Order of The Tribunal

The learned counsel for the applicant is present.

Mr. Jose Kollanoor, learned Advocate, representing the respondents counsel.

Heard both sides.

Since the duplicate OMR answer sheet does not figure out any of the answers, the applicant's result is withheld.

The learned counsel for the respondents submits that following the procedure, the applicant has scored above the cut-off, i.e. 72.71% and the cut-off for the OBC has been declared 72.85%. When we perused the record and went through the reply filed by the respondents, in the Minutes of the meeting of Deputy Chief Personnel Officers (Recruitment) of all zonal railways held on 04.07.2012, some procedures were laid down i.e.

At column (2). Targets for various stages of ongoing recruitment exercise and,

At column (e). Duplicate OMR sent for evaluation instead of Original: Practice as followed by the Railway Recruitment Boards (RRBs) will apply.

It is to be noted that nothing has been reflected in the duplicate OMR answer sheet. Still, the applicant's OMR answer sheet has been evaluated, and he has been awarded 74.71%. Therefore, the learned counsel for the respondents is directed to seek instructions on this aspect of why the applicant's duplicate OMR sheet has not been sent while the subsequent process of selection objection has been raised.

The learned counsel for the respondents seeks three weeks' time for the same. Permission granted.

List the case on 14.02.2024 for 'Final Hearing'.

Shalini Misra
Member (A)

Dr. LATA BASWARAJ PATNE
Member (J)

/SB/