

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL AHMEDABAD

Order Sheet

Item no.: 29
M.A./434/2021
In
O.A./500/2018
[EXTENSION OF TIME]
Court No.: 1

No of Adjournment: 2
Order Dated: 22/12/2021

CENTRAL BOARD OF EXCISE & CUSTOM
Vs
SUDARSHAN SINGH

For Applicant(s) Advocate : R R Patel

For Respondent(s) Advocate : Sudarshan Singh

<u>Notes of The Registry</u>	<u>Order of The Tribunal</u>
	Today, the applicant Mr. Sudarshan Singh appears as party in person through on line and submits he has relieved his lawyer from this case and hence forth, he himself will appear as party in person in this case. He further states that he had already informed his lawyer about the same. The applicant has also send e-mail to registry about the aforesaid submission and request to allow him to appear as party in person. The said request of the applicant is accepted. Registry is directed to show the name of the applicant as party in person. It is noticed that the respondent has filed MA No.434/2021 for extension of time to comply the order passed by this Tribunal in OA No.500/2018. Ms.R.R.Patel appears for the original respondent and applicant in MA. She submits that in para 3 of the MA it has been categorically contended that unintentionally the original respondent could not comply the direction issued by this Tribunal vide order dated 24.02.2021 and 09.08.2021 and sought some additional time to comply the order passed by this Tribunal. On the other hand, party in person submits that he has filed his reply to MA and objected the request for grant of further extension. He submits that he has filed Contempt Petition against the original respondent for non compliance of the order passed by this Tribunal. Ms. R.R. Patel for the applicant (Original Respondent) submits that she has further received the instruction in this case that the case of applicant is in active consideration and as such the respondents are in favour of extending the benefit of the issue laid down in the Pankaj Nayan case, for the said purpose the matter has been referred to DoLA just with a view to avoid any further litigation in the case. MA for condonation of delay in preferring MA for extension of time to comply the order passed by this Tribunal is

allowed. Considering the request made on behalf of the official respondent i.e., applicant in MA, in the interest of justice we deem it fit to allow MA No.434/2021 in OA No.500/2018 by extending further time up to 31.01.2022 to comply the order passed by this Tribunal in OA No.500/2018. It is expected in the meanwhile, the applicant herein (Original Respondent) will take appropriate decision in this case.

In view of the above MA stands allowed.

A K Dubey
Member (A)

Jayesh V Bhairavia
Member (J)

/pa/