

**CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
HYDERABAD BENCH**

OA/020/593/2015

HYDERABAD, this the 6th day of September, 2021



Hon'ble Mr. Ashish Kalia, Judl. Member
Hon'ble Mr. B.V. Sudhakar, Admn. Member

K. Rajendra Prasad S/o late Asirvadam,
Age about 43 years,
Working as Postal Assistant (Under Suspension)
Yeleswaram S.O. 533429
Kakinada Division

...Applicant

(By Advocate: Sri M. Venkanna)

Vs.

1. Union of India, represented by
its Secretary to the Government of India,
Ministry of Communications & IT,
Department of Posts, Dak Bhavan,
Sansad Marg, New Delhi - 110001.
2. The Chief Postmaster General,
A.P. Circle, "Dak Sadan".
Abids, Hyderabad 500001.
3. The Director of Postal Services.
O/o. The Postmaster General,
Visakhapatnam Region,
Visakhapatna 530017.
4. The Superintendent of Post Offices,
Kakinada Division,
Kakinada 533001.

...Respondents

(By Advocate: Sri Paravastu Krishna, Addl. CGSC)

ORAL ORDER
(As per Hon'ble Mr. Ashish Kalia, Judl. Member)

Through Video Conferencing:



The present O.A. is filed seeking the following relief:

“.....to direct the respondents not to go ahead with the inquiry in respect of the charge sheet issued vide Memo No. F 3-4/1/12 - 13 Dated 12-12-2014 till the final outcome of the criminal trial in CC No. 31 /2014 before the court of Hon'ble Principal Special Judge for CBI Cases, at Visakhapatnam and pass such other order or orders as this Hon'ble Tribunal may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.”

2. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties, this Tribunal has stayed the disciplinary proceedings as a criminal case on the same set of facts is pending. Sri ABLN Pavan Kumar representing Sri M. Venkanna, learned counsel for the applicant submits that he has received instructions that evidence in the criminal case has been completed.

3. In view of the above submission of the proxy counsel for the applicant, there is no point in continuing the interim order passed on 29.04.2015. Keeping in view the judgement of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *M/s. Stanzen Toyotetsu India P.Ltd vs Girish V & Ors on 21 January, 2014*, we hereby vacate the interim order. With this, no further point survives in the O.A. for adjudication. Hence, the O.A. is closed. No order as to costs.

(B.V. SUDHAKAR)
ADMINISTRATIVE MEMBER

(ASHISH KALIA)
JUDICIAL MEMBER

/pv/