



**CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
CHANDIGARH BENCH**

Hearing by Video Conferencing

O.A. No.063/571/2021

Chandigarh, this the 29th day of March, 2022

HON'BLE SH. SURESH KUMAR MONGA, MEMBER (J)

(On video conference from Central Administrative Tribunal,
Chandigarh Bench, Chandigarh)

HON'BLE SH. RAKESH KUMAR GUPTA, MEMBER (A)

(On video conference from Central Administrative Tribunal,
Bangalore Bench, Bangalore)

1. No. 14273241, Sh. Jaimuni sone of Sh. Jagat Ram
Age 36 years, working as CSBO (Grade-II), Office of
Station Headquarters, Kasauli.
2. No. 14272547, Sh. Pardeep Chauhan son of Sh. Sadhu
Ram Chauhan, working as CBSO (Grade-I).
3. No. 14271698, Smt. Bimla Panwar w/o Sh. Shyam Lal
Panwar, working as CSBO (Grade-I).
4. No. 9871759, Aakash Saini son of Sushil Kumar Saini,
working as Messenger.
5. No. 9871760, Rahul Rana son of Sh. Hem Bahadur,
working as Chowkidar.
6. No. 9871752, Sh. Hari Gopal son of Late Sh. Garib
Dass, working as Consy Sfaiwala.
7. No. 9871755, Sh. Anil Kumar son of Late Sh. Piyare Lal,
working as Consy Sfaiwala



Applicant nos. 1 to 7 are working in the office of Station Headquarters, Kasauli.

...Applicants

(BY ADVOCATE: Sh. D.R. Sharma)

VERSUS

1. Union of India through the Secretary, Ministry of Defence, South Block, New Delhi-110011 (defsecy@nic.in).
2. Principal Controller of Defence Accounts, Western Command, Sector 9, Chandigarh-160009 (cdachd@nic.in).
3. Garrison Engineer, Commander Station Head Quarter, Shimla Hills, Kasauli-173204(hlsshm3-mes@nic).

...Respondents

(BY ADVOCATE: Sh. Anshul Bansal)

O R D E R(Oral)

Per: SURESH KUMAR MONGA, MEMBER (J):

1. Sh. D.R. Sharma, learned counsel for the applicants, at the very outset, stated that the matter is squarely covered by a judgement dated 19.06.2018 rendered by the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh in CWP NO. 2083/2007 and while implementing the said judgement, the respondents have already released the benefit of Remote Locality Allowance to the counter parts of the applicants herein.



2. The fact that the matter is squarely covered by the aforesaid judgement has also been narrated in paragraph 4 (8) of the Original Application which has not been disputed by the respondents while filing their written statement.

3. Accordingly, the Original Application is allowed and the respondents are directed to release the same benefits with regard to Remote Locality Allowance in favour of the applicants as has been released in favour of their counter parts pursuant to the judgement dated 19.06.2018 rendered by the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh in CWP No. 2083/2007.

4. Let the requisite orders be issued by the respondents within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

5. Ordered accordingly. However, there shall be no orders so as to costs.

(RAKESH KUMAR GUPTA)
Member (A)

(SURESH KUMAR MONGA)
Member (J)