

**CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
CHANDIGARH BENCH**

O. A. No.060/00409/2017

...
Date of decision: 19.04.2017

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. SANJEEV KAUSHIK, MEMBER (J).

...

Mohan Lal Gupta S/o Late Sh. Ram Saroop, aged 66 years, Sub Postmaster (Retired), House No.60B, New Milap Nagar, Ambala City.

... APPLICANT

VERSUS

1. Union of India through Secretary, Ministry of Communication and ITI, Department of Posts Dak Bhawan, New Delhi..
2. The Chief Postmaster General, Haryana Postal Circle, 107, The Mall, Ambala Cantt.
3. The Senior Superintendent of Post Office, GPO Building, Ambala Cantt.

... RESPONDENTS

PRESENT: Sh. Jagdeep Jaswal, counsel for the applicant.

ORDER

...

HON'BLE MR. SANJEEV KAUSHIK, MEMBER (J):-

1. Heard. The present O.A. is directed against the order dated 09.03.2017 (Annexure A-1), whereby claim of the applicant for reimbursement medical expenses incurred by him has been rejected on the ground that retired employees are not allowed medical reimbursement.
2. Learned counsel for the applicant very fairly submitted that the similar issue as in the present O.A., has already been decided vide order dated 06.04.2017 by this Tribunal in a bunch of matters leading case therein **Satya Devi Vs. U.O.I & Ors.** (O.A. No.060/00526/2015), wherein a direction has been issued to the respondents to reconsider the matter in the light of order dated

20.03.2017 passed by the Ernakulam Bench of the Tribunal in the case of **R. Ravindran Pillai Vs. UOI & Ors.** (O.A. No.180/00024/2015). He, therefore, prayed that the present O.A. may be disposed of in the same terms.

3. Issue notice to the respondents.
4. Sh. Ram Lal Gupta, Advocate, accepts notice and also endorses that the similar matters have already been disposed of by this court hence does not object to disposal of this O.A. in the same terms. He, however, requested that three months time may be granted to the respondents to reconsider the entire matter in the light of decision in R. Ravindran Pillai's case (supra).
5. Considering Ad-idem between the parties, the present O.A. is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to reconsider the entire matter in the light of above observations and in the case of R. Ravindran Pillai's (supra).
6. No costs.

(SANJEEV KAUSHIK)
MEMBER (J)

Date: 19.04.2017.
Place: Chandigarh.

`KR'