

**CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
HYDERABAD BENCH
HYDERABAD**

**OA/21/227/2017 &
MA/21/714/2017**

Dated: 12/04/2019

Between

1. Syed Nazmuddin,
S/o. Late Syed Anweruddin Ahmed,
Age 34 years, Postal Assistant, Circle Office,
Telangana Postal Circle Office,
Hyderabad ñ 500 001.
2. K.S.S. Prasanna Kumar,
S/o. Late K. Satyanarayana,
Age 55 years, Postal Assistant, Circle Office,
Telangana Postal Circle Office,
Hyderabad ñ 500 001.
3. G. Sandeep Kumar,
S/o. G. Venkateshwarlu,
Age 25 years, Postal Assistant, Circle Office,
Telangana Postal Circle Office,
Hyderabad ñ 500 001.
4. T. Prasada Reddy,
S/o.T. Gurivi Reddy,
Age 27 years, Postal Assistant, Circle Office,
Telangana Postal Circle Office,
Hyderabad ñ 500 001.
5. Harish Madhavaram,
S/o. M. Vallapurao,
Age 28 years, Postal Assistant, Circle Office,
Telangana Postal Circle Office,
Hyderabad ñ 500 001.
6. Chenna Pavan Kumar,
S/o. C. Siva Prasad,
Age 27 years, Postal Assistant, Circle Office,
Telangana Postal Circle Office,
Hyderabad ñ 500 001.
7. Sahik Riaz, S/o. Jameel,
Age 26 years, Postal Assistant, Circle Office,
Telangana Postal Circle Office, Hyderabad ñ 500 001.
8. Chekkapelli Varaprasad,
S/o. Kishtaiah,
Age 26 years, Postal Assistant, Circle Office,
Telangana Postal Circle Office, Hyderabad ñ 500 001.
9. M. Prashanth,
S/o. Late M. Venkataiah,
Age 30 years, Postal Assistant, Circle Office,
Telangana Postal Circle Office, Hyderabad ñ 500 001.

- 10.A. Ajay Brahmachary,
S/o. V. Ramakistaiah,
Age 24 years, Postal Assistant, Circle Office,
Telangana Postal Circle Office,
Hyderabad ñ 500 001.
- 11.Chittem Ganesh, S/o. Satyanarayana,
Age 32 years, Postal Assistant, Circle Office,
Telangana Postal Circle Office,
Hyderabad ñ 500 001.
- 12.Gadari Krishna Kanth, S/o. Gadari Yadagiri Rao,
Age 26 years, Postal Assistant, Circle Office,
Telangana Postal Circle Office,
Hyderabad ñ 500 001.
- 13.N. Praveen, S/o. N. Dhansingh,
Age 28 years, Postal Assistant, Circle Office,
Telangana Postal Circle Office,
Hyderabad ñ 500 001.
- 14.P. Ramakrishna, S/o. Mallesham,
Age 29 years, Postal Assistant, Circle Office,
Telangana Postal Circle Office,
Hyderabad ñ 500 001.

... Applicants

AND

1. The Union of India rep. by its
Secretary to Government,
Government of India,
Ministry of Communications & I.T.,
Dept. of Posts ñ India,
Dak Bhavan, Sansad Marg,
New Delhi ñ 110 001.
2. The Director General of Posts ñ India,
Dept. of Posts, Dak Bhavan,
New Delhi ñ 110 001.
3. The Chief Postmaster General,
A.P. Circle, Krishna Lanka,
Vijayawada ñ 520 013.
4. The Chief Postmaster General,
Telangana Circle, Abids, Hyderabad ñ 500 001.
5. The Postmaster General,
Telangana Regional Office,
Hyderabad ñ 500 001.

... Respondents

Counsel for the Applicants : Mr. M. Venkanna
Counsel for the Respondents : Mrs. K. Rajitha, Sr. CGSC

CORAM :

Hon'ble Mr. Justice L. Narasimha Reddy, Chairman
Hon'ble Mrs. Naini Jayaseelan, Admn. Member

ORAL ORDER

(Per Hon'ble Mr. Justice L. Narasimha Reddy, Chairman)

The applicants are working in various capacities in the Department of Posts. They have been transferred to the A.P. Circle, consequent upon the bifurcation of the State, through an order dated 05.10.2016. All of them have been relieved for reporting to the new places of posting. The said two orders are challenged in this O.A.

6. The applicants contend that there was an understanding between the administration and the employees as regards the manner of posting to new Circle and that the order of transfer is in violation of rules.

3. Heard Sri M. Venkanna, learned counsel appearing for the applicants and Smt. K. Rajitha, learned Senior Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents.

4. The O.A. has undergone several stages. On 04.04.2017, the Tribunal declined to pass an interim order. At that stage, the applicants filed Writ Petition No.12406/2017 in the High Court of Andhra Pradesh and the same was dismissed on 07.04.2017.

5. The transfer was effected way back on 05.10.2016. That was not challenged, till another order dated 15.02.2017 was issued relieving them from the existing places of work. Except stating that the order of transfer is in violation of Memo of Understanding, no other ground is pleaded. A perusal of the impugned order discloses that it came to be issued as a sequel to the bifurcation of the State and every possible norm was followed in this behalf. At any rate, the applicants have since reported to duties and have been

working for the past two years at the new stations, we do not find any merit in the O.A. It is dismissed. MA/21/714/2017 shall stand closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

(NAINI JAYASEELAN)
MEMBER (ADMN.)

(JUSTICE L. NARASIMHA REDDY)
CHAIRMAN

pv