



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR
WRIT PETITION NO. 8508 OF 2018

Shri Vinod Anandrao Ambadkar -**VERSUS**- State Information Commissioner and others.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's Orders.

Court's or Judge's orders.

Smt S.W. Deshpande, counsel for petitioner.

CORAM : A.S. CHANDURKAR &
AMIT B. BORKAR, JJ

DATE : 09.03.2020

The names of respondent Nos. 2 and 3 are permitted to be deleted at the risk of the petitioner.

2. According to the learned counsel for the petitioner, by a communication dated 09.08.2018 respondent No.2 was informed that the information sought by him was not available with the Municipal Council on the ground that the shops with regard to which such information was sought were not owned by the Municipal Council. The State Information Commission has, however, refused to accept that stand and has imposed penalty of ₹ 5000/- on the petitioner. One of the grounds for imposing penalty is belated response on the part of the petitioner. It is stated that the petitioner joined the post of Assistant Office Superintendent on 02.06.2017 after which he took steps to supply the available information.

3. Issue notice respondent Nos. 1, 2 and 4, returnable on 07.04.2020.

4. In the meanwhile, no coercive steps be taken to implement the impugned order.

JUDGE

JUDGE

RKN