



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.

WRIT PETITION NO. 8024 OF 2018

(Sanjay s/o Sarjerao Pawar vs. The State of Maharashtra, Education and Sports Department thr.
its Secretary & Ors.)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders or directions
and Registrar's Orders.

Court's or Judge's orders.

**CORAM : SUNIL B. SHUKRE &
S.M. MODAK, JJ.
FEBRUARY 27, 2019.**

Heard Shri A.D. Mohgaonkar, learned counsel for the petitioner, learned AGP for respondent No. 1, Mrs. B.P. Maldhure, learned counsel for respondent No. 2 and Shri V.S. Dhobe, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 3 & 4.

According to the learned counsel for the respondents, reply is necessary as the action which is taken by the respondents in relation to payment of 20% salary to the petitioner is based upon the Government Resolution of the year 2015 which has not been challenged by the petitioner in this case. It is also submitted that in such situation, reply of all the respondents would be necessary and, therefore, learned respective counsel for the respondents have sought further time for filing of reply.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that now no salary whatsoever is being paid to the petitioner since the year 2014.

We have no difficulty in granting further time to the respondents, but while doing so, we would like to direct by way of interim order that the petitioner shall be paid full

salary as applicable to him for the post held by the petitioner subject to the result of the petition, until further orders.

S.O. to 13.03.2019.

JUDGE

JUDGE

*GS.