

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.

WP No. 8024 of 2018

Sanjay v. The State of Maharashtra & ors

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's Orders
or directions and Registrar's order

Court's or Judge's Order

Shri A. D. Mohgaonkar, Advocate for petitioner
Ms T. Udeshi, AGP for respondent no. 1
Ms Bharti Maldhure, Adv for respondent no. 2
Shri V. S. Dhobe, Advocate for respedts 3, 4

Coram : Sunil B. Shukre And
Smt Pushpa V. Ganediwala, JJ

Dated : 13th March 2019

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that no interim relief has ever been sought by the petitioner against the respondent no. 2 and this is clear from various clauses of the prayer in the petition. Learned counsel for respondent no. 2 seeks time to file reply which prayer is opposed by learned counsel for the petitioner.

Having seen prayer clauses, we find it necessary to issue clarification and it is to the effect that the order dated 27th February 2019 has been passed in terms of prayer clause (3) of the petition.

Respondent no. 2 is granted two weeks' time to file reply.



2

wp8024.18.odt

Stand over to 27.3.2019.

JUDGE

JUDGE

joshi