



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH AT NAGPUR

First Appeal No.589/2019
(Raman Saini Vs. Durga Dahi and others)
With
First Appeal No.590/2019
(Raman Saini Vs. Ramdas Gawhale and others)
With
First Appeal No.591/2019
(Raman Saini Vs. Archana Dahi and others)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Mr. T.H. Bewali, Advocate for appellant.
Mr. H.N. Verma, Advocate for respondent No.6.

CORAM : MANISH PITALE, J.

DATED : SEPTEMBER 03, 2019

All these 3 appeals are filed by the person who was owner of the vehicle which was involved in the accident, leading to claim petitions being filed by the respondents (claimants). The office note shows that the respondents (claimants), are yet to be served, while the respondent insurance company has been served, which has appeared before this Court through counsel.

2. The main contention raised in these appeals is that the directions given in the impugned Judgments and orders by the Tribunal of pay and recovery in favour of insurance company are erroneous. In the

facts and circumstances of the present case, this is contested by the learned counsel appearing for the respondent insurance company. It is informed that the respondent insurance company had already deposited the amount before the Tribunal, but, instructions are awaited as to whether the claimants had already withdrawn the amount. It is informed that there are certain appeals also filed by the insurance company, pertaining to the same accident.

3. In view of the above, list in the first week of October 2019, for the learned counsel for the insurance company to take appropriate instructions and for listing of connected appeals.

JUDGE

MP Deshpande