



rayu Khot.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 915 OF 2018

IN

CENTRAL EXCISE APPEAL (L) NO. 209 OF 2018

ALONG WITH

NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 916 OF 2018

IN

CENTRAL EXCISE APPEAL (L) NO. 211 OF 2018

ALONG WITH

NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 917 OF 2018

IN

CENTRAL EXCISE APPEAL (L) NO. 208 OF 2018

ALONG WITH

NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 918 OF 2018

IN

CENTRAL EXCISE APPEAL (L) NO. 207 OF 2018

M/s. Arrow Engineers

**...Applicant/
Appellant**

Versus

CCE Thane -II

...Respondent

Ms. Deepali Kamble, for the Applicant/Appellant.

**CORAM : M.S. SANKLECHA &
RIYAZ I. CHAGLA, JJ.**

DATE : 1 November 2018

ORDER :

1. None appears for the Respondent, despite service.
2. These Motions have been taken out for condonation of 73 days delay in filing these Appeals from the impugned Order dated 9th January 2018 passed by the Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal.
3. We have perused the Affidavits of Shri. Sathyan Nair, authorised signatory of the Applicant dated 11th October 2018 in support of these Notices of Motion and are satisfied with the reasons indicated therein for the delay in filing the Appeals from the impugned Order.

2/3
November 1, 2018

4. Accordingly, these four Notices of Motion are allowed in terms of prayer clause (a).

[RIYAZ I. CHAGLA J.]

[M.S. SANKLECHA, J.]