



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

- 16 CONT. PETITION (STAMP) NO.20577 OF 2020
IN SA/57/2006
WITH
17 CONT. PETITION (STAMP) NO.4728 OF 2021
IN SA/110/2017
WITH
18 CIVIL APPLICATION (STAMP) NO.25128 OF 2017
IN CA/5650/2005
WITH
20 CIVIL APPLICATION (STAMP) NO.39346 OF 2018
IN CA/1182/2016 WITH CA(ST)/39355/2018 IN CA/1182/2016
WITH
21 CIVIL APPLICATION (STAMP) NO.1124 OF 2019
IN SA/488/2005
WITH
22 CIVIL APPLICATION (STAMP) NO.4154 OF 2019
IN SA/205/2012
WITH
23 CIVIL APPLICATION (STAMP) NO.9848 OF 2019
IN SA/109/1997
WITH
24 CIVIL APPLICATION (STAMP) NO.18037 OF 2019
IN RC/942/2017
WITH
25 CIVIL APPLICATION (STAMP) NO.21254 OF 2019
IN SA/313/2006
WITH
26 CIVIL APPLICATION (STAMP) NO.37226 OF 2019
IN SA/15/2002
WITH
27 CIVIL APPLICATION (STAMP) NO.37246 OF 2019
IN SA/713/2006
WITH
28 CIVIL APPLICATION (STAMP) NO.37919 OF 2019
IN SA/285/2005
WITH
29 CIVIL APPLICATION (STAMP) NO.38298 OF 2019

IN SA/149/2004
WITH
30 CIVIL APPLICATION (STAMP) NO.38676 OF 2019
IN CA/3968/2009
WITH
31 CIVIL APPLICATION (STAMP) NO.39247 OF 2019
IN RC/53/2000
WITH
32 CIVIL APPLICATION (STAMP) NO.39249 OF 2019
IN SA/173/2009
WITH
33 CIVIL APPLICATION (STAMP) NO.40820 OF 2019
IN SA/713/2011
WITH
34 CIVIL APPLICATION (STAMP) NO.40859 OF 2019
IN SA/227/1993
WITH
36 CIVIL APPLICATION (STAMP) NO.6630 OF 2020
IN RC/326/2020
WITH
39 CIVIL APPLICATION (STAMP) NO.9763 OF 2020
IN SAST/6217/2010 WITH CA(ST)/9767/2020 IN
SAST/6217/2010
WITH
40 CIVIL APPLICATION (STAMP) NO.10232 OF 2020
IN RAST/37044/2016 WITH CA(ST)/30867/2019 IN CA/566/2017

WITH
41 CIVIL APPLICATION (STAMP) NO.12288 OF 2020
IN SAST/32303/2018
WITH
42 CIVIL APPLICATION (STAMP) NO.12936 OF 2020
IN SAST/10536/2015
WITH
43 CIVIL APPLICATION (STAMP) NO.14219 OF 2020
IN SA/374/2017 WITH CA(ST)/14213/2020 IN SA/375/2017
WITH
44 CIVIL APPLICATION (STAMP) NO.16823 OF 2020
IN SA/903/2008
WITH

45 CIVIL APPLICATION (STAMP) NO.18707 OF 2020
IN RAST/18706/2020 WITH RA(ST)/18706/2020 IN SA/762/2008

WITH

46 CIVIL APPLICATION (STAMP) NO.21801 OF 2020
IN SA/477/1995

WITH

47 CIVIL APPLICATION (STAMP) NO.22673 OF 2020
IN SA/662/2019

WITH

48 CIVIL APPLICATION (STAMP) NO.23470 OF 2020
IN SA/229/2007 WITH CA(ST)/23472/2020 IN SA/229/2007
WITH CA(ST)/23465/2020 IN SA/229/2007

WITH

49 CIVIL APPLICATION (STAMP) NO.23830 OF 2020
IN SA/477/1991

WITH

50 CIVIL APPLICATION (STAMP) NO.25320 OF 2020
IN RC/83/2019

WITH

51 CIVIL APPLICATION (STAMP) NO.2282 OF 2021
IN RAST/2291/2021 WITH RA(ST)/2291/2021 IN SA/239/2002

WITH

52 CIVIL APPLICATION (STAMP) NO.2335 OF 2021
IN RAST/2321/2021 WITH RA(ST)/2321/2021

WITH

53 CIVIL APPLICATION (STAMP) NO.2348 OF 2021
IN RAST/2340/2021 WITH RA(ST)/2340/2021 IN SA/240/2002

WITH

54 CIVIL APPLICATION (STAMP) NO.2729 OF 2021
IN SA/242/1998

WITH

55 CIVIL APPLICATION (STAMP) NO.3313 OF 2021
IN SA/83/2014

WITH

60 CIVIL APPLICATION (STAMP) NO.7021 OF 2021
IN SA/1734/2004

WITH

61 CIVIL APPLICATION (STAMP) NO.7358 OF 2021
IN SA/272/2016

WITH
62 CIVIL APPLICATION (STAMP) NO.8185 OF 2021
IN SA/310/2020

WITH
63 CIVIL APPLICATION (STAMP) NO.8225 OF 2021
IN SA/5/1994

WITH
64 CIVIL APPLICATION (STAMP) NO.8376 OF 2021
IN SA/645/2012

WITH
65 CIVIL APPLICATION (STAMP) NO.8811 OF 2021
IN CA/9421/2003

WITH
66 CIVIL APPLICATION (STAMP) NO.9012 OF 2021
IN SA/110/2017

WITH
68 CIVIL APPLICATION (STAMP) NO.9034 OF 2021
IN SA/85/2016

...

CORAM: H.M.BHOSALE
REGISTRAR (JUDL)

DATED: 01/09/2021

1. This batch of second appeals is listed 2nd time on the board of Registrar (Judicial). None present. All these second appeals are filed in the year 2019 or before that and Civil Applications moved therein are listed 2nd time. Having considered the pendency of second appeals, it is legitimate expectation from the advocates for appellants to remove the office objections, however, despite granting ample time office objections are not

removed.

2. Having considered these reasons, it would be just and proper to refuse the registration. However, as a result of pandemic there were restrictions on the movement and Courts were not functioning with its full strength. In these circumstances, it would not be just and proper to refuse the registration outrightly. It would be just and proper to give one more opportunity to remove the office objections. It is always better to try the lis on merit rather than dispose of it on technical grounds. In turn, the following order would meet the ends of justice.

ORDER

Three weeks time is granted to remove the office objections, on failure, the registration would be refused on the next date.

REGISTRAR (JUDL)