

COURT-1

IN THE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL FOR ELECTRICITY
(Appellate Jurisdiction)

APL No. 45 OF 2025 & IA No. 29 OF 2025 & IA No. 265 OF 2025

Dated: 8th July, 2025

Present : Hon`ble Mr. Justice Ramesh Ranganathan, Chairperson
Hon`ble Ms. Seema Gupta, Technical Member (Electricity)

In the matter of:

Grasim Industries Limited

.... Appellant(s)

Versus

Andhra Pradesh Electricity Regulatory Commission &
Ors.

.... Respondent(s)

Counsel on record for the Appellant(s) : Aashish Anand Bernard
Paramhans Sahani
for App. 1

Counsel on record for the Respondent(s) : Nisha Pandey
Gaichangpou Gangmei
Arjun D Singh
Ankita Sharma
Yimyanger Longkumer
for Res. 1

Yelamanchili Shiva Santosh
Kumar
Rudrajit Ghosh
Khyati Chhabra
Maria Jerome J
for Res. 2

Yelamanchili Shiva Santosh
Kumar
Rudrajit Ghosh
Khyati Chhabra
Maria Jerome J

for Res. 3

Yelamanchili Shiva Santosh
Kumar
Rudrajit Ghosh
Khyati Chhabra
Maria Jerome J
for Res. 4

ORDER

IA No. 29 OF 2025

(for interim relief)

In a batch of appeals preferred against the very same impugned order, which is the subject matter of the present appeal, we had, by our order dated 19.03.2025, opined that the impugned order did not necessitate interference at the interlocutory stage, and that payment, if any made by the Appellant to the Respondents-AP Discoms in compliance with the impugned order during the pendency of the appeal, shall be subject to the result of the main appeal.

It is brought to our notice that one of the Appellants, in the batch of appeals in which we had passed an order on 19.03.2025, had carried the matter in further appeal to the Supreme Court by way of Civil Appeal No. 6877 of 2025, and the Supreme Court, by its order dated 19.05.2025, had dismissed the said appeal. While Mr. Paramhans Sahani, learned Counsel for the Appellant, would seek an adjournment contending that certain submissions which were not urged earlier be permitted to be urged, we see no reason to defer hearing on this score, since all such additional

contentions which the Appellant intends to urge can as well be urged when the main appeal is finally heard.

Following the order passed in IA No. 1976 of 2024 in Appeal No. 12 of 2025 and batch, dated 19.03.2025, the present IA is disposed of holding that the impugned order does not necessitate interference at the interlocutory stage, and payment made by the Appellant in terms of the impugned order shall be subject to the result of the main appeal.

IA No. 265 OF 2025

(for condonation of delay in filing certified copy of the impugned order)

The delay in filing certified copy of the impugned order is condoned and the certified copy of the impugned order is taken on record.

The application is, accordingly, disposed of.

APL No. 45 OF 2025

Six weeks' time is granted to file reply. Rejoinder, if any, may be filed within four weeks thereafter.

Registry to thereafter verify whether pleadings are complete and, then include this appeal in the '**List of Finals**' to be taken up from there, in its turn. Tag to Appeal No. 12 of 2025 and batch.

(Seema Gupta)
Technical Member (Electricity)

(Justice Ramesh Ranganathan)
Chairperson

ts/sk