

COURT-1

IN THE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL FOR ELECTRICITY
(Appellate Jurisdiction)

DFR No : 457 of 2025 & IA No. 1792 OF 2025 & IA No. 1793 OF 2025

Dated: 6th February, 2026

Present: Hon`ble Mr. Justice Ramesh Ranganathan, Chairperson
Hon`ble Mr. Ajay Talegaonkar, Technical Member (Electricity)

In the matter of:

Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Company Ltd. Petitioner(s)

Versus

Mahindra CIE Automative Ltd. & Anr. Respondent(s)

Counsel on record for the Petitioner(s) : Shashwat Kumar
Rahul Chouhan
Harshit Gupta
Mukut Choudhary
Kajal Kakani
Chaitanya Ahuja
for App. 1

Counsel on record for the Respondent(s) : Harsha V Rao
Aishwarya Subramani
Anand K. Ganesan
Swapna Seshadri
for Res. 1

ORDER

IA NO. 1792 OF 2025

(For condonation of delay of 66 days in filing the appeal)

The explanation furnished for the delay of 66 days in filing the appeal is of administrative reasons which does not constitute sufficient cause for condonation. We, however, see no reason to non-suit the Petitioner on this score. We therefore, deem it appropriate to condone the delay on condition that the Petitioner pays cost of Rs.35,000/- (Rupees Thirty Five Thousand only).

Mr. Basava Prabhu S. Patil, Learned Senior Counsel appearing on behalf of the Petitioner, undertakes to pay costs within two weeks and file proof of payment within one week thereafter.

The Petitioner shall pay the said costs of Rs.35,000/- (Rupees Thirty-Five Thousand only) to the Central Transmission Utility of India Limited (CTUIL) within two weeks, and file proof of payment in the Registry within one week thereafter. Subject to compliance with the aforesaid directions, the delay in filing the Review Petition is condoned, and the application is disposed of.

The Petitioner shall also intimate the CTUIL of the Petition No. and the date of Order, in terms of which costs are being paid.

DFR NO. 457 OF 2025 & IA NO. 1793 OF 2025

Since the order, review of which is sought was passed by a Bench consisting of Shri Sandesh Kumar Sharma, Technical Member (Electricity) and Shri Virender Bhat, Judicial Member; and since Shri Virender Bhat, Judicial Member continues to hold office, Order 47 Rule 5 CPC requires the review petition to be heard by a Bench of which Shri Virender Bhat, Judicial Member is a Member.

Let the review petition be listed in Court-II on **12.03.2026**.

Ajay Talegaonkar
Technical Member (Electricity)

Justice Ramesh Ranganathan
Chairperson

tpd/mg