

COURT-1

IN THE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL FOR ELECTRICITY
(Appellate Jurisdiction)

DFR No : 454 of 2025 & IA No. 1777 OF 2025 & IA No. 1778 OF 2025

Dated: 6th March, 2026

Present : Hon`ble Ms. Seema Gupta, Officiating Chairperson
Hon`ble Mr. Virender Bhat, Judicial Member

In the matter of:

Kerala State Electricity Board Limited		Appellant(s)
Versus		
Powergrid Corporation of India Ltd. & Ors.		Respondent(s)

Counsel on record for the Appellant(s)	:	Prabhas Bajaj Priyanshu Tyagi Priyanka Bhatt Harsh Chauhan Rithvik Mathur for App. 1
Counsel on record for the Respondent(s)	:	Anand K. Ganesan Swapna Seshadri Utkarsh Singh Sneha Singh Baghel for Res. 1 S. Vallinayagam for Res. 2 Saurabh Mishra for Res. 19 Ravi Sharma Aryan Chanda for Res. 24 Rohini Prasad for Res. 41

ORDER

IA NO. 1777 OF 2025

(For condonation of delay of 83 days in filing the appeal)

This application is filed seeking condonation of delay of 83 days in filing the appeal. The explanation furnished for the delay is of

administrative reasons which does not constitute sufficient cause for condonation. We are, however, of the view that the delay is not so inordinate as to justify denying the Appellant an opportunity of having their appeal heard on merits, albeit on imposition of costs. We therefore, direct that the delay of 83 days in filing the appeal be condoned on condition that the Appellant pays costs of Rs.35,000/- (Rupees Thirty Five Thousand only) to the Central Transmission Utility of India Limited (CTUIL) within four weeks from today, and files proof of payment in the Registry within two weeks thereafter. Subject to compliance with the aforesaid directions, the delay is condoned. The CTUIL shall make a separate fund for the funds collected as cost imposed by this Tribunal and which may be utilized for the benefits of consumers.

The Appellant shall also intimate the CTUIL of the appeal No. and the date of the Order, in terms of which costs are being paid.

DFR No : 454 of 2025 & IA No. 1778 OF 2025

Since affidavit of service of notice has already been filed, failure to effect service of court notice on Respondent Nos.56, 31,51, 68 & 70 is of no consequence.

Reply shall be filed by the Respondents within six weeks and rejoinder, if any, shall be filed within three weeks thereafter.

Post the matter on **11.05.2026**.

Virender Bhat
Judicial Member

Seema Gupta
Officiating Chairperson

sk/mg