

COURT-1

IN THE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL FOR ELECTRICITY
(Appellate Jurisdiction)

APL No. 335 OF 2025 & IA No. 1637 OF 2025 & IA No. 1636 OF 2025 &
IA No. 305 OF 2026 & IA No. 306 OF 2026

Dated: 20th February, 2026

Present: Hon`ble Mr. Justice Ramesh Ranganathan, Chairperson
Hon`ble Mr. Ajay Talegaonkar, Technical Member (Electricity)

In the matter of:

Punjab State Power Corporation Limited Appellant(s)

Versus

A.B. Grain Spirits Private Limited & Anr. Respondent(s)

Counsel on record for the Appellant(s) : Anand K. Ganesan
Swapna Seshadri
Amal Nair
Shivani Verma
Devyani Prasad
for App. 1

Counsel on record for the Respondent(s) : Karan Nagrath
Niharika Nagrath
Ambuj Tiwari
Arjun Nagrath
Aryan Bhardwaj
B.P. Sharma
Kishan Yadav
for Res. 1

Gargi Kumar
for Res. 2

ORDER

IA NO. 305 OF 2026

(For condonation of delay of 14 days in filing reply by R-1)

&

IA NO. 306 OF 2026

(For condonation of delay of 14 days in filing reply by R-1)

Despite repeated reminders of the need to file pleadings within the time sought for by the Counsel themselves, requests such as these are

still being made seeking further extension of time for completing pleadings. Such requests not only disable us from striving to achieve the objective of the Act to dispose of the main Appeals within the statutorily prescribed period of 180 days, but also results in needless wastage of precious court time, which can be more usefully spent in hearing Appeals on merits.

The present case is yet another instance of procrastination. We, however, see no reason to deny the 1st Respondent an opportunity to file their replies. We consider it appropriate to condone the delay on condition that the 1st Respondent pay costs of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) each (total Rs.50,000/-) to the Central Transmission Utility of India Limited (CTUIL) within four weeks, and file proof payment in the Registry within two weeks thereafter. Subject to compliance with the aforesaid directions, the delay in filing replies is condoned, and the replies filed by the 1st Respondent shall be taken on record. The applications are disposed of.

IA NO. 1637 OF 2025
(For urgent listing)

Since the matter listed, having been already served its purpose, this IA has become infructuous. The application is dismissed accordingly.

APPEAL NO. 335 OF 2025 & IA NO. 1636 OF 2025

Since affidavit of proof of service of notice on all the Respondents has been filed, failure to effect service of court notice on some of the Respondents, is of no consequence.

Mr. Matrugupta Mishra, Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the 1st Respondent, states that they have also preferred an Appeal against the very same order; they have filed an IA seeking stay of the impugned order; and the said IA is listed for hearing on 06.04.2026. Learned

Counsel would request that this matter be taken up for hearing on 06.04.2026 along with Appeal No. 52 of 2026.

Post on **06.04.2026**.

Ajay Talegaonkar
Technical Member (Electricity)

Justice Ramesh Ranganathan
Chairperson

tpd/mg