

COURT-1

IN THE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL FOR ELECTRICITY
(Appellate Jurisdiction)

EP No. 10 OF 2025 & IA No. 81 OF 2026

Dated: 15th January, 2026

Present : Hon`ble Mr. Justice Ramesh Ranganathan, Chairperson
Hon`ble Mr. Ajay Talegaonkar, Technical Member (Electricity)

In the matter of:

Tata Power Delhi Distribution Ltd. (s)

Versus

Delhi Electricity Regulatory Commission Respondent(s)

Counsel on record for the (s) : Amit Kapur
Anupam Varma
Rahul Kinra
Aditya Gupta
Girdhar Gopal Khattar
Adamyia Ojha
Yash Srivastava
Aditya Ajay
for App. 1

Counsel on record for the Respondent(s) : Dhnananjay Baijal
for Res. 1

ORDER

IA NO. 81 OF 2026

(for condonation of delay in filing reply-11 days) (R-1)

The explanation furnished for the delay in filing reply is that the DERC was busy in passing a true-up order. This justification, in our opinion does not constitute sufficient cause in as much as the functioning of the DERC cannot come to a stand still merely because they have to pass a true-up order in a particular case.

The present case is yet another instance of procrastination. We, however, see no reason to deny the 1st Respondent an opportunity to file their reply. We consider it appropriate to permit them to file reply within two weeks on condition that the 1st Respondent pays costs of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) to the Central Transmission Utility of India Limited (CTUIL) within four weeks, and file proof payment in the Registry within one week thereafter. Subject to compliance with the aforesaid directions, the delay of 11 days in filing reply is condoned, and the reply filed by the 1st Respondent shall be taken on record. The application is disposed of.

The 1st Respondent shall also intimate the CTUIL of the Appeal No. and the date of Order, in terms of which costs are being paid.

EP No. 10 OF 2025

Rejoinder, if any shall be filed in the meanwhile.

Post the matter on **09.02.2026**.

Ajay Talegaonkar
Technical Member (Electricity)

Justice Ramesh Ranganathan
Chairperson

Sk/mg